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Introduction

The most frequently asked question at all-hands meetings for a securities offering is “What financial statements will be needed?” The question seems simple enough. But the answer is rarely straightforward.

This User’s Guide is designed to provide a roadmap to help navigate the financial statement requirements of the federal securities laws. We focus principally on the requirements for new registration statements in public offerings, including initial public offerings by emerging growth companies (EGCs) under the JOBS Act. We also summarize briefly the practices in the Rule 144A market, as well as the special rules applicable to “foreign private issuers.”

To make the discussion below easier to follow, we have provided examples using actual dates. These dates are based on a company with a December 31 fiscal year end.

The Basics

Background to Financial Statement Requirements

Public securities offerings registered with the US Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) under the US Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act) require the filing of a registration statement with the SEC and the distribution of a prospectus in connection with the offering. The registration statement and prospectus must contain certain financial statements and other financial information regarding the issuer’s financial condition and results of operations.

The Securities Act and the related rules and regulations detail the disclosure requirements through the use of standard “forms” (e.g., Forms S-1 and S-3). These forms, in turn, specify the information that must be disclosed under Regulation S-K (S-K) and Regulation S-X (S-X). To simplify, S-K largely deals with textual disclosure and S-X with financial statement form and content.

What Financial Statements Must Be Included in Public Offerings?

The following tables summarize the scope of the basic financial statement requirements for all registered offerings. Note that much of the basic information can be incorporated by reference for issuers eligible to use Form S-3 and for certain issuers filing a registration statement on Form S-1 or Form S-11. Issuers who are eligible for incorporation by reference will want to consult their underwriters before electing to incorporate all required financial information by reference. For marketing purposes, it is often desirable to include the financial information directly in the printed offering document.
## The Basic Requirements for Public Offerings

### Annual Audited Financial Statements

- **Balance sheets:**
  - Audited balance sheets as of the end of the two most recent fiscal years.\(^7\)
  - If the issuer has been in existence less than one year, an audited balance sheet as of a date within 135 days of the date of filing the registration statement.\(^6\)

- **Statements of comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholders’ equity:**
  - Audited statements of comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholders’ equity covering each of the three most recent fiscal years, or for the life of the issuer (and its predecessors), if shorter.\(^3\)

- Under certain circumstances, audited financial statements may cover nine, 10, or 11 months rather than a full fiscal year for one of the required years.\(^10\)

- Audited financial statements for an issuer must be accompanied by an audit report issued by independent public accountants. The accountants must be registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (the PCAOB) under standards promulgated by the PCAOB.\(^11\)

### Interim Unaudited Financial Statements

- **Balance sheet:**
  - An interim unaudited balance sheet as of the end of the most recent three-, six-, or nine-month period following the most recent audited balance sheet.\(^12\)

- **Statements of comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholders’ equity:**
  - Interim unaudited statements of comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholders’ equity for any stub period covered by an interim balance sheet, together with statements of comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholders’ equity for the corresponding three-, six-, or nine-month stub period of the prior year.\(^13\)

### Acquired Business Financial Information and Pro Forma Financial Information – S-X Rule 3-05, S-X Rule 3-14, and S-X Article 11\(^14\)

- Depending on the size of the acquisition and its significance to the issuer (which is measured in various ways – not all of them intuitive), audited financial statements for the most recent one or two fiscal years of the acquired business must be included, plus appropriate unaudited interim financial statements. These requirements are found in S-X Rule 3-05 and S-X Rule 3-14 (which applies to acquisitions of real estate operations). We discuss S-X Rule 3-05 and S-X Rule 3-14 in more detail below.

- Under S-X Article 11, when acquired business financial statements are included in a registration statement (and in certain other instances), pro forma financial information must also be included, covering the most recently completed fiscal year and the most recent interim period. We discuss S-X Article 11 in more detail below.
### The Basic Requirements for Public Offerings

#### Selected Financial Information – S-K Item 301

- Selected statement of comprehensive income and balance sheet data for each of the last five fiscal years (or for the life of the issuer and its predecessors, if shorter) and any interim period included in the financial statements (together with comparative information for the corresponding interim period of the prior year).
- The purpose of the selected financial data is to highlight certain significant trends in the issuer’s financial condition and results of operations and must include:
  - net sales or operating revenues;
  - income (loss) from continuing operations;
  - income (loss) from continuing operations per common share;
  - total assets;
  - long-term obligations and redeemable preferred stock; and
  - cash dividends declared per common share.
- The selected financial data may also include any additional items that would enhance an understanding of the issuer’s financial condition and results of operations.

**NOTE:** As part of the amendments to S-K financial disclosure items discussed below in “MD&A,” Item 301 will be deleted. The changes are mandatory for issuers beginning with their first fiscal year ending on or after August 9, 2021 and in any registration statement and prospectus that on its initial filing date is required to contain financial statements for a period on or after that date. Issuers may voluntarily omit Item 301 disclosure on or after February 10, 2021.

#### EGC Offerings

- In order to qualify as an EGC a company must have annual revenue for its most recently completed fiscal year of less than $1.07 billion.
- An EGC may conduct its initial public equity offering using two years, rather than three years, of audited financial statements and as few as two years, rather than five years, of selected financial data.
- After its IPO, an EGC phases into full compliance by adding one additional year of financial statements in each future year until it presents the traditional three years of audited financial statements plus two years of selected financial data. The required MD&A would cover only the years for which audited financial statements are provided.

#### Supplementary Financial Information – S-K Item 302

- For issuers that have registered securities under Section 12(b) or 12(g) of the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) – generally, equity securities listed on the NYSE or Nasdaq – certain additional unaudited selected financial data for each full quarter within the two most recent fiscal years and any subsequent interim period for which financial statements are included. This information is not required for IPO prospectuses.

**NOTE:** As part of the amendments to S-K financial disclosure items discussed below in “MD&A,” issuers may omit this information, unless there has been a material retrospective change (or changes that are material in the aggregate) affecting comprehensive income. Issuers must comply with the amended Item 302(a) beginning with their first fiscal year ending on or after August 9, 2021 and may voluntarily comply on or after February 10, 2021.
What Financial Statements Must Be Included to Begin SEC Review?

Normally, a registration statement must include – as of the date of filing – all of the financial statements listed in the tables above. However, issuers that are EGCs and registering with the SEC for the first time may submit draft registration statements for confidential review, which is protected from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).\(^{25}\) Issuers that are not EGCs may also submit draft registration statements for nonpublic review, which affords more limited protection from FOIA.\(^{26}\)

During this review process, financial statements may become “stale” (i.e., are too old and must be updated, as described below). Consequently, an issuer that is an EGC may omit from its confidential submissions annual and interim financial data that it reasonably believes will not be required at the time of the offering.\(^{27}\)

An issuer that is not an EGC may omit from its nonpublic submissions the annual and interim financial data it reasonably believes will not be required at the time the issuer files publicly.\(^{28}\)

In addition, an EGC or a non-EGC may omit from its confidential or nonpublic submissions the financial statements of an acquired business required by S-X Rule 3-05 or S-X Rule 3-14 that the issuer reasonably believes will not be required at the time of the offering.\(^{29}\)

When Does Financial Information Go “Stale”?\(^{30}\)

Understanding the timing requirements for the provision of financial statements is almost as critical as understanding the scope of the financial information required. The determination of when financial statements go stale is sure to come up at the all-hands meeting and planning to have the necessary financial information prepared on time is an essential part of the offering process. Among other considerations, the SEC Staff has a policy against commencing review of a filing unless the financial statements are not stale on the filing date.\(^{30}\)

These rules vary for different categories of issuers. In particular, the rules distinguish between large accelerated filers, accelerated filers, initial filers, loss corporations, and delinquent filers.\(^{31}\) For these purposes:

- A large accelerated filer is an issuer that, as of the end of its fiscal year:\(^{32}\)
  - has an aggregate worldwide market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates (public float) of $700 million or more (measured as of the last business day of its most recently completed second fiscal quarter);
  - has been subject to SEC reporting under the Exchange Act for a period of at least 12 calendar months;
  - has filed at least one annual report under the Exchange Act with the SEC; and
  - is not eligible to be a “smaller reporting company” and had annual revenues of less than $100 million in the most recent fiscal year for which financial statements are available.\(^{33}\)

- An accelerated filer is an issuer meeting the same conditions, except that it has a public float of $75 million or more, but less than $700 million (measured as of the last business day of its most recently completed second fiscal quarter).\(^{34}\)

- An initial filer is generally a company that was not subject to the SEC’s reporting requirements prior to filing the registration statement (i.e., a first-time filer, an IPO filer, or a voluntary filer), and is not an “all other filer” as indicated in the charts below.\(^{35}\)

- A loss corporation is a company that does not expect to report positive income after taxes for the most recently ended fiscal year and for at least one of the two prior fiscal years.\(^{36}\)

- A delinquent filer is a company that is subject to the SEC’s reporting requirements, but has not filed all reports that are due.\(^{37}\)
The following tables summarize financial statement staleness requirements, measured by the number of days between the effective date of the registration statement (or, by analogy, the pricing date of a Rule 144A offering if the transaction is intended to mirror SEC requirements) and the date of the financial statements in the filing. For any of the time frames noted below, if the last day before the financial statements go stale is a Saturday, Sunday, or US federal holiday, Securities Act Rule 417 allows the filing to be made on the next business day, thereby effectively postponing the staleness date.

**Staleness of Financial Statements**

For annual, first quarter, and second quarter financial statements, “staleness” means the point in the year when the financial statements become so old that the issuer needs to include the subsequent quarter’s financial statements. By contrast, for third quarter financial statements, “staleness” means the point in the year when the third quarter financial statements become so old that the issuer needs to include annual audited financial statements.

The dates below are based on a December 31 fiscal year end in a year that is not a leap year, and do not reflect a permitted extension to the next business day where staleness days would otherwise fall on a weekend or US federal holiday.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staleness of Financial Statements</th>
<th>When Do 1st Quarter Financial Statements Go Stale?</th>
<th>When Do 2nd Quarter Financial Statements Go Stale?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>Large Accelerated Filers and Accelerated Filers</em>: First quarter financial statements go stale at the close of business on August 7 (the gap between the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the date of the first quarter financial statements in the filing may not be more than 129 days). In other words, the registration statement cannot be declared effective after August 7 unless it includes second quarter financial statements.</td>
<td>• <em>Large Accelerated Filers and Accelerated Filers</em>: Second quarter interim financial statements go stale at the close of business on November 6 (the gap between the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the date of the second quarter financial statements in the filing may not be more than 129 days).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• <em>All Other Filers</em>: First quarter financial statements go stale at the close of business on August 12 (the gap between the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the date of the financial statements in the filing may not be more than 134 days).</td>
<td>• <em>All Other Filers</em>: Second quarter interim financial statements go stale at the close of business on November 11 (the gap between the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the date of the second quarter financial statements in the filing may not be more than 134 days).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Whenever updated interim financial statements are included, interim statements of comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholders’ equity must be included for the corresponding period of the prior year.</td>
<td>• Whenever updated interim financial statements are included, interim statements of comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholders’ equity must be included for the corresponding period of the prior year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| When Do 3rd Quarter Financial Statements Go Stale? | • *Initial Filers, Loss Corporations, and Delinquent Filers:* Third quarter interim financial statements go stale at the close of business on February 14 (updated annual audited financial statements must be included when the gap between the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the date of the prior year’s audited financial statements is more than one year and 45 days). In other words, it is not possible for an IPO registration statement to become effective after February 14 of a year until audited financial statements have been provided for the just ended fiscal year. Note that a large accelerated filer or an accelerated filer that is a loss corporation or a delinquent filer would be subject to the February 14 deadline (and not the March 1/March 16 deadlines mentioned below).

• *Large Accelerated Filers:* Third quarter interim financial statements go stale at the close of business on March 1* (updated annual audited financial statements must be included when the gap between the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the date of the fiscal year end is more than 60 days).

• *Accelerated Filers:* Third quarter interim financial statements go stale at the close of business on March 16* (updated annual audited financial statements must be included when the gap between the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the date of the fiscal year end is more than 75 days).

• *All Other Filers:* Third quarter interim financial statements go stale at the close of business on March 31* (updated annual audited financial statements must be included when the gap between the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the date of the fiscal year end is more than 90 days).

• *In leap years, these deadlines occur one day prior to these dates (i.e., February 29, March 15, and March 30, respectively).|

| When Do Year-End Financial Statements Go Stale? | • *Large Accelerated Filers and Accelerated Filers:* Year-end audited financial statements go stale at the close of business on May 9* (the gap between the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the date of the year-end financial statements in the filing may not be more than 129 days). In other words, the registration statement cannot be declared effective after May 9 unless it includes first quarter financial statements.

• *All Other Filers:* Year-end audited financial statements go stale at the close of business on May 14* (the gap between the date of effectiveness of the registration statement and the date of the year-end financial statements in the filing may not be more than 134 days).

• *In leap years, these deadlines occur one day prior to these dates (i.e., May 8 and May 13, respectively).
When Do Financial Statements Go Stale in 2021?

At the close of business on the following dates (for issuers with a fiscal year ended **December 31, 2020**):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021</th>
<th>Q3 financial statements for IPOs, Loss Corporations, and delinquent filers</th>
<th>Q3 financial statements of Accelerated Filers (same date 2020 10-K is due)</th>
<th>Year-end financial statements of Large Accelerated Filers and Accelerated Filers (Q1 10-Q is due May 10)</th>
<th>Q1 financial statements of Large Accelerated Filers and Accelerated Filers (Q2 10-Q is due Aug 19)</th>
<th>Q2 financial statements of Large Accelerated Filers and Accelerated Filers (Q3 10-Q is due Nov 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 16*</td>
<td>Mar 1</td>
<td>Mar 16</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>May 10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 16</td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>May 10*</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Aug 9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 31</td>
<td>May 10*</td>
<td>Aug 9*</td>
<td>Aug 12</td>
<td>Nov 8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 10*</td>
<td>Aug 9*</td>
<td>Nov 8*</td>
<td>Nov 12*</td>
<td>Nov 12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug 12</td>
<td>Nov 8*</td>
<td>Nov 12*</td>
<td>Nov 12*</td>
<td>Nov 12*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These dates reflect a permitted extension to the next business day where dates would have otherwise occurred on a weekend or US federal holiday.

Special accommodation for timely filers: Staleness dates do not correspond exactly with the Form 10-Q filing deadlines because the 10-Q deadlines run from the end of the most recently ended quarter, whereas the staleness dates run from the end of the preceding quarter. However, the SEC Staff generally provides an accommodation for repeat issuers that have been timely filers for the past 12 months by allowing their registration statements to become effective during the gap period between the staleness dates shown above and the nearest 10-Q filing deadline, absent unusual circumstances. As a result, for most repeat issuers, the effective staleness date is the same as the 10-Q filing deadline.

Note that the most recent interim financial information filed with the SEC must always be included in a registration statement.

**MD&A**

Registration statements must contain or incorporate by reference a “management's discussion and analysis” section (the MD&A). The requirements for the MD&A are set out in S-K Item 303.

The purpose of the MD&A is to provide investors with the information necessary to understand an issuer’s financial condition, changes in financial condition, and results of operations. It is the place where management interprets the financial statements for investors. A well-written MD&A will focus on trends and uncertainties in the marketplace and will identify the key “drivers” of the issuer's results of operations. It will explain the issuer's business as management sees it, from separately discussing each segment’s performance to the business as a whole. It will also identify and discuss the key metrics that management uses to evaluate the business’ performance and financial health. Many MD&A sections include a general discussion of the issuer’s future prospects under a subheading such as “Outlook,” and some issuers even go so far as to give specific guidance for the following quarter or the current or following fiscal year. Drafting the MD&A section requires close coordination among the issuer’s financial team, its accountants, and counsel and can be a time-consuming exercise.
The SEC has steadily expanded the line-item disclosure requirements for the MD&A, adding specific requirements for off-balance sheet arrangements, long-term contractual obligations, certain derivatives contracts, and related-party transactions, as well as critical accounting policies. For an explanation of the SEC’s view of required liquidity and capital resources disclosure, see the guidance release from September 2010, and for a sweeping explanation of the purpose of MD&A disclosure, see the guidance release from December 2003.

Recent SEC Amendments to MD&A
On February 10, 2021, amendments to several S-K financial disclosure requirements took effect. Issuers are permitted to comply immediately with any or all of the amended items, as long as they comply with the item in its entirety and continue to comply going forward. Issuers must apply the amended rules beginning their first fiscal year ending on or after August 9, 2021, and in any registration statement and prospectus that on its initial filing date is required to contain financial statements for a period on or after that date.

In addition to the deletion of S-K Item 301 (Selected Financial Data) and modification of S-K Item 302 (Supplementary Financial Information) discussed above, the amendments summarized below consolidate and streamline many of the requirements discussed in this section while also moving the MD&A toward a more principles-based approach, with an emphasis on materiality and trends.

Objectives in new Item 303(a) lays out the overarching requirements of MD&A. Required disclosure includes:

- Material information relevant to an assessment of the financial condition and results of operations of a company, including an evaluation of the amounts and certainty of cash flows from operations and from outside sources
- Material events and uncertainties known to management that are reasonably likely to cause reported financial information not to be indicative of future operating results or of future financial condition
- Material financial and statistical data that the company believes will enhance a reader’s understanding of the company’s financial condition, cash flows and other changes in financial condition and results of operations

Liquidity and Capital Resources in new Item 303(b)(1), “reflects an enhanced principles-based requirement focused on material short- and long-term cash requirements, including those from known contractual and other obligations,” that:

- Incorporates existing requirements for MD&A liquidity disclosure
- Codifies prior SEC guidance that specifies that short-term liquidity and capital resources cover cash needs up to 12 months into the future, while long-term liquidity and capital resources cover items beyond 12 months
- Requires the discussion on both a short-term and long-term basis
- Requires the discussion to analyze material cash requirements from known contractual and other obligations and to specify the type of obligation and the relevant time period for the related cash requirements
- Includes an instruction that the discussion of material cash requirements from known contractual obligations may include, for example, lease obligations, purchase obligations, or other liabilities reflected on the company’s balance sheet
- Includes an instruction, consistent with prior SEC guidance, that the analysis should be in a format that facilitates easy understanding and does not duplicate disclosure already provided in the filing

Results of Operations-Known Trends or Uncertainties in new Item 303(b)(2) provides the requirements for disclosures relating to results of operations, and includes a modification to use a “reasonably likely” disclosure threshold. For example, an issuer that knows of events that are reasonably likely to cause a material change in the relationship between costs and revenues, such as known or reasonably likely future increases in costs of labor or materials or price increases or inventory adjustments, must disclose the reasonably likely change.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements formerly found in Item 303(a)(4) has been replaced with an instruction to discuss those obligations in the broader context of MD&A.
Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations in Item 303(a)(5) has been eliminated. Disclosure of material cash requirements from known contractual and other obligations is now required as part of new Liquidity and Capital Resources (Item 303(b), discussed above).

Critical Accounting Estimates in new Item 303(b)(3) clarifies and codifies SEC guidance on critical accounting estimates.

**Additional Financial Information for Certain Specific Situations**

**Recent and Probable Acquisitions**

In addition to financial statements of the issuer, registration statements generally require inclusion of audited financial statements for a significant acquisition of a “business” that has taken place 75 days or more before the offering.\(^59\) In the case of an acquisition that exceeds 50% on any of the significance tests discussed below, the audited financial statements must be included in the registration statement as soon as the acquisition becomes “probable.”\(^60\) These requirements can be found in S-X Rule 3-05 and S-X Rule 3-14 (which applies only to acquisitions of real estate operations). In addition, where a material acquisition has occurred, or is probable, pro forma financial information complying with S-X Article 11 for the most recent fiscal year and the most recent interim period will generally also be required in the registration statement.

**What Is a “Business”?**

The SEC defines the term “business” to include an operating entity or business unit, but excludes machinery and other assets that do not generate a distinct profit or loss stream.\(^61\) It is important to note that the definition of a business under US Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (US GAAP) (and potentially other GAAPs) differs from the SEC’s definition. Accordingly, an acquisition that is a business under US GAAP may not be one for SEC purposes, and vice versa.

**What Is “Probable”?**

Evaluating whether a given transaction is probable involves looking at the facts and circumstances. The SEC Staff has taken the general view that an acquisition becomes probable at least upon the signing of a letter of intent,\(^62\) and has also stated that an acquisition is probable “where registrant’s financial statements alone would not provide adequate financial information to make an investment decision.”\(^63\) In practice, unless there were significant conditions relating to a proposed acquisition, an issuer would not want to be in the position of arguing and disclosing that an important acquisition is not probable.

**Significance Tests**

Whether financial statements for recent and probable acquisitions must be included in the filing also depends upon the “significance” of the acquisition. Significance of an acquired business is evaluated under S-X Rule 3-05 or S-X Rule 3-14 based upon three tests (which in turn are derived from S-X Rule 1-02(w)):

- **Investment Test** – the amount of the issuer’s investment in the acquired business (generally, the aggregate value of the acquisition) compared to:
  - the aggregate worldwide market value of the issuer’s voting and non-voting common equity, or
  - the issuer’s total assets if it does not have publicly traded equity securities.\(^64\)
- **Asset Test** – the issuer’s share of the consolidated total assets of the acquired business compared to the issuer’s consolidated total assets, in each case after intercompany eliminations.\(^65\)
- **Income Test** – includes two components, both of which must be tested where applicable:
  - *Net income component* – the issuer’s share of “pre-tax income”\(^66\) from continuing operations of the acquired business compared to the issuer’s pre-tax income from continuing operations.\(^67\)
• **Revenue component** – where the issuer and the acquired business have material annual revenue for the last two fiscal years, the issuer’s (and its other subsidiaries’) share of the consolidated total revenues of the acquired business compared to the issuer’s consolidated total revenues for its most recent fiscal year, in each case after intercompany eliminations.\(^n\)

• **Note:** When testing significance, both components of the test must exceed the applicable threshold. When determining the number of periods for which financial statements must be presented, the issuer uses the lower of the two components.\(^n\)

Each of these tests should compare the issuer’s and the acquired business’ most recent annual financial statements (which need only be audited for the issuer). Worldwide market value should be determined using the average of the last five trading days of the month before the acquisition was agreed or announced (whichever is earlier). In addition, any issuer – including an IPO issuer – may use pro forma financial information to measure significance for acquisitions consummated in the most recent fiscal year, so long as it has filed the required S-X Rule 3-05 financial statements and S-X Article 11 pro forma financial information for the acquired businesses. (In the case of an IPO issuer, the relevant disclosure would be made in its IPO registration statement.) Once an issuer uses pro forma financial information to measure significance, it will need to continue to use pro forma financials until the next Form 10-K annual report. This approach can be useful where the pro forma information produces a larger “denominator” for testing significance.

Acquisitions of related businesses are treated as a single acquisition for purposes of the significance tests. Businesses are considered “related” if they are owned by a common seller or under common management, or where the acquisition of one business is conditioned upon the acquisition of each other business or a single common event.\(^n\)

Generally:

• If the acquired business exceeds 20% of any of the three significance tests, then one year of audited financial statements is required, as well as the most recently completed interim period that would be required under S-X Rules 3-01 and 3-02.\(^n\)

• If the acquired business exceeds 40% of any of the three tests, then two years of audited financial statements and the appropriate interim periods are required.\(^n\)

### Financial Statements Required in Connection With Acquisitions

The following table summarizes the general rules for an acquisition that occurred more than 75 days before the offering. The issuer must, when both the net income and revenue components of the Income Test are applicable, use the lower of the two to determine the number of periods required.\(^n\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acquisition Scenario</th>
<th>Reporting Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individual acquisition at or below the 20% significance level</strong></td>
<td>No requirement to include audited or interim financial statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individual acquisition (or multiple acquisitions of “related businesses,” as described above) in excess of the 20% significance level, but not above the 40% level</strong></td>
<td>Audited financial statements for the most recent fiscal year of the acquired business must be included. Unaudited interim financial statements for the most recently completed interim period may need to be included, depending on the time of year that the offering takes place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individual acquisition (or multiple acquisitions of “related businesses,” as described above) in excess of the 40% significance level</strong></td>
<td>Audited financial statements for the two most recent fiscal years of the acquired business must be included. Unaudited interim financial statements may need to be included, depending on the time of year that the offering takes place.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Acquisition Scenario

**Multiple acquisitions of unrelated businesses aggregating more than 50% significance that are:**

- less than 20% significance level
- greater than 20% and less than 50% significance level and:
  - have not yet been consummated or
  - have been consummated but for which financial statements are not yet required because of the 75-day grace period

### Reporting Requirement

Audited financial statements for the most recent fiscal year will be required for any acquired business that exceeds the 20% significance level and for the most recent two fiscal years for any business that exceeds the 40% significance level. The unaudited interim financial statements that are required for individual acquisitions may need to be included, depending on the time of year that the offering takes place.

### Note that:

- The permitted age of financial statements of an acquired or soon-to-be-acquired business is generally determined by looking to the "staleness" rules that apply to its financial statements (rather than the staleness rules applicable to the financial statements of the acquiring company). In other words, you need to determine whether the acquired company is, for example, a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or an initial filer, or foreign business or foreign private issuer, and then analyze the dates on which its financial statements go stale under the rules summarized above.

- Below the 50% significance level, no audited financial statements are required in the offering document for probable acquisitions or for completed acquisitions consummated up to 74 days before the date of the offering. The commitment committees of some financing sources may, however, require at least a one-year audit of the acquired company in this situation together with historical pro forma financial information, even if the 74-day grace period has not yet expired.

- When a "foreign business" is acquired, the financial statements of the acquired business may be in accordance with US GAAP, the English language version of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB IFRS), or another local home-country GAAP (local GAAP). No US GAAP reconciliation is required for the inclusion of financial statements of an acquired foreign business where that business uses IASB IFRS or when the acquired business is below the 30% level for all significance tests. At or above 30%, a reconciliation to US GAAP must be included for the annual and interim periods presented. If the acquired business does not qualify as a foreign business, but would qualify as a foreign private issuer if it were a registrant, the financial statements may be prepared in IASB IFRS without reconciliation to US GAAP or in local GAAP reconciled to either IASB IFRS or US GAAP. Any reconciliation need only meet the requirements of Item 17, not Item 18, of Form 20-F.

- Except in very limited circumstances, if the acquired company is not already an SEC-reporting company, its financial statements need not be audited by a PCAOB-registered firm, and the audit report need not refer to PCAOB standards. However, in those cases the audit must be conducted in accordance with US generally accepted auditing standards.
Exceptions to the Financial Statement Requirements for Acquired Businesses

There are a number of exceptions to the requirement to provide separate financial statements of acquired businesses.

### Exceptions to the Requirement to Provide Financial Statements of Acquired Businesses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Separate financial statements for an acquired business do not need to be presented once the operating results of the acquired business have been included in the issuer’s audited consolidated financial statements for at least nine months for an acquired business that exceeds the 20% level of significance and one fiscal year for an acquired business that exceeds the 40% level.⁸⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A single audited period of nine, 10, or 11 months may count as a year for an acquired business in certain circumstances.⁸⁸</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### S-X Rule 3-14: Real Estate Operations

The acquisition or probable acquisition of real estate operations is subject to S-X Rule 3-14. “Real estate operations” means a business that generates substantially all of its revenues through the leasing of real property, such as a REIT.⁹⁻⁸ In comparison, where real estate is merely incidental to the service provided by a business, as for example in the case of many hotels, the regular S-X Rule 3-05 requirements would apply.

S-X Rule 3-14(a) requires that audited financial statements be provided for the most recent fiscal year and most recently completed interim period for any acquisition or probable acquisition that would exceed 20% significance using the Investment Test, discussed above.⁹⁻⁹ S-X Rule 3-14(c) also permits certain variations from the typical form of statement of comprehensive income provided certain additional textual disclosure is made.⁹¹ In a registration statement, issuers using S-X Rule 3-14 should also consider individually insignificant acquisitions (i.e., those amounting to less than a 20% significance level individually) if, as a group, they exceed the 20% significance level.

### MD&A for Acquisitions

Whenever historical financial statements of an acquired business (or probable acquisition) are included in the offering document, the issuer will need to consider whether a separate MD&A section discussing those financial statements is appropriate. Although there is no specific line item requiring that a second MD&A be included, it is not uncommon for issuers to interpret Securities Act Rule 408 to require a full discussion and analysis of the financial statements of an acquired business (or probable acquisition), particularly where it is necessary to make the required statements not misleading.

### Pro Forma Financial Information

As noted above, where a material acquisition has occurred, or is probable, that would trigger the need for acquired business financial statements under S-X Rule 3-05, pro forma financial information complying with S-X Article 11 must also be included. Pro forma financial information will also be required for multiple acquisitions that in the aggregate exceed the 50% level of significance of (i) individually insignificant businesses (i.e., below the 20% significance level), and (ii) acquisitions of individually significant businesses between the 20% and 50% significance level that have either not have been consummated or for which financial statements are not yet required due to the 75-day grace period.⁹⁻⁻⁹ Pro forma financial information is intended to illustrate the continuing impact of a transaction, by showing how the specific transaction might have affected historical financial statements had it occurred at the beginning of the issuer’s most recently completed fiscal year or the earliest period presented.

In particular, S-X Article 11 requires:⁹⁻⁻⁹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• a pro forma condensed balance sheet⁹⁻⁻ as of the end of the most recent period for which a consolidated balance sheet of the issuer is required, unless the transaction is already reflected in that balance sheet;⑨ and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• a pro forma condensed statement of comprehensive income⁹⁻⁻ for the issuer’s most recently completed fiscal year and the most recent interim period, unless the historical statement of comprehensive income reflects the transaction for the entire period.⁹⁻⁻</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S-X Article 11 also requires pro forma financial information in a number of other situations, such as:

- certain dispositions at a greater than 20% significance level (measured under the tests summarized above) that are not fully reflected in the financial statements of the issuer included in the prospectus;¹⁰⁰
- acquisition of certain investments accounted for under the equity method;¹⁰¹ and
- other events or transactions for which disclosure of pro forma financial information would be material to investors.¹⁰²

S-X Article 11 provides extensive specific requirements for the content of pro forma financial information, including those set out in the following table.¹⁰²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pro Forma Financial Information – Certain Key Content Requirements – S-X Rule 11-02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required Adjustments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transaction Accounting Adjustments</strong> – reflect the application of US GAAP or IASB IFRS to the transaction, linking the effects of the acquired business to the issuer’s audited historical financial statements and must include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Total consideration transferred or received, including its components and how they were measured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If any consideration is contingent, the basis for determining the amount(s) and an undiscounted estimate of the range of outcomes or an explanation of why a range cannot be estimated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If the initial accounting is incomplete, a prominent statement to that effect, and a description of the required information, including uncertainties affecting the pro forma financial information, an estimate of when the accounting will be finalized, and other information regarding the magnitude of the potential adjustments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Autonomous Entity Adjustments</strong> – reflect the operations and financial position of the acquiror (i.e., the issuer) as an autonomous entity when it was previously part of another entity and must include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A description of each adjustment and any material uncertainties, the calculation of the adjustment, and qualitative information about the adjustment necessary to give a fair and balanced presentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transaction Accounting and Autonomous Entity Adjustments</strong> – must be included in the calculation of the historical and pro forma per share data presented on the face of the pro forma condensed statement of comprehensive income.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pro Forma Financial Information</strong> – must include revenues, expenses, gains and losses, and related tax effects that will not recur in the income of the issuer beyond 12 months after the transaction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Optional Adjustments | Management’s Adjustments – permit the issuer to include forward-looking information that depicts the synergies and dis-synergies identified by management and provides insight to investors into the potential effects of the acquisition and management’s post-acquisition plans.

The following conditions must be met:
- There is a reasonable basis for each such adjustment;
- Adjustments that reduce expenses may not exceed the amount of the related expense historically incurred during the pro forma period presented;
- The pro forma financial information includes a statement that, in the opinion of management, it reflects all Management’s Adjustments necessary to a fair statement of the pro forma financial information presented; and
- When synergies are presented, any related dis-synergies must also be presented.

Additional Form of Presentation requirements include:
- The explanatory notes must include disclosure of the basis for and material limitations of each Management’s Adjustment, including any material assumptions or uncertainties of such adjustment, an explanation of the method of the calculation of the adjustment, if material, and the estimated period for achieving the synergies and dis-synergies of such adjustment.
- Management’s Adjustments must be presented in the explanatory notes in the form of reconciliations of pro forma net income from continuing operations attributable to the controlling interest and the related pro forma earnings per share data to such amounts after giving effect to the adjustments.
- Management’s Adjustments included (or incorporated by reference) should be as of the most recent practicable date prior to the applicable effective date, mail date, qualified date, or filing date, which may require they be updated if previously provided in a Form 8-K that is incorporated by reference.
- If Management’s Adjustments will change the number of shares or potential common shares, the change must be reflected within Management’s Adjustments in accordance with US GAAP or IASB IFRS, as applicable, as if the shares were outstanding as of the beginning of the period presented.

Periods to Be Presented | Pro forma condensed statements of comprehensive income should be presented using the issuer’s fiscal year end. If the most recent fiscal year end of the acquired company differs from that of the issuer by more than 93 days, the acquired company’s fiscal year end should be brought up to within 93 days of the issuer’s fiscal year end (if practicable).

Even if pro forma financial information for an acquired business is not required to be included in the prospectus, the underwriters may nevertheless request that pro forma financial information be included in the disclosure. This situation arises where the bankers want to show the higher “run rate” operating results of the combined companies for marketing reasons even though there is no specific requirement to do so.

Discontinued Operations and Other GAAP Retrospective Revisions
As noted above, significant dispositions may require pro forma financial information under S-X Article 11. In addition, dispositions of a “component” or group of components that are a separate major line of a business or major geographical area of operations for a company may be reported as discontinued operations in the company’s financial statements, thereby triggering requirements under ASC 205-20 for reclassification of prior period financial statements.
If a disposition would be treated as a discontinued operation under GAAP, a key question is when prior period financial statements need to be recast to reflect the discontinued operation. In general, retrospective revision of pre-event financial statements is required in connection with an offering when the pre-event financial statements are reissued after post-event financial statements have been issued.\textsuperscript{107}

The following table summarizes some common scenarios, assuming that a material discontinued operation has occurred after the end of a fiscal year (say, in the first fiscal quarter).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPO/initial registration statement on Form S-1, S-4, or S-11</td>
<td>Revision of pre-event financial statements is required if post-event financial statements are needed for the offering. For example, if the offering takes place at a time when Q1 interim financial statements are required for the registration statement, retrospective revision of pre-event financial statements would be required. \textsuperscript{108}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| New/follow-on registration statement on Form S-1, S-3, S-4, or S-11 (including post-effective amendments to those forms) or proxy statement | If post-event financial statements have already been filed, then both pre-event and post-event financial statements are needed for the offering and revision of pre-event financial statements is required. \textsuperscript{109}  
If post-event financial statements have not been filed, then filing audited revised pre-event financials is not required or allowed (although unaudited supplementary information may be provided or pro forma financial statements reflecting the discontinued operation may be needed). |
| Takedown from an effective shelf registration statement                  | Pre-event financial statements in a shelf registration statement that was declared effective prior to the discontinued operation are not required to be retrospectively revised, whether or not post-event financial statements have been filed, unless there has been a “fundamental change.” \textsuperscript{110} |
| New registration statement on Form S-8                                   | Revision of pre-event financial statements is typically not required. \textsuperscript{111}  
Note that these same guidelines generally apply to other retrospectively applied revisions required by GAAP, such as changes in segments and accounting methods.  
In addition:  
  • if annual financial statements have been recast to reflect discontinued operations, then a revised MD&A should be included to describe the events or circumstances that led to the discontinued operations, the material terms of that disposition, and the impact on the issuer's operating results and business;\textsuperscript{112} and  
  • the liquidity and capital resources section of MD&A should discuss whether the company's liquidity is likely to be affected by the discontinued operations.\textsuperscript{113} |

Guarantor Financial Statements

A guarantee of a security (such as a guarantee of a debt or preferred equity security) is itself a security that must be registered under the Securities Act, absent an applicable exemption.\textsuperscript{114} As a result, the general rule is that guarantors, as “issuers” of the guarantee, are required to present the same financial statements as the issuer of the guaranteed securities.\textsuperscript{115} Fortunately, S-X Rules 3-10(a) and 13-01 provide an alternative disclosure regime (the Alternative Disclosures) that does not generally require extensive financial information about subsidiary issuers, subsidiary guarantors, and subsidiary non-guarantors. The Alternative Disclosures permit disclosure of summarized financial information about consolidated subsidiary issuers and guarantors, together with material non-financial disclosure about the guarantee, the issuer, and the guarantors. The effort required to provide the Alternative Disclosures is less burdensome and expensive than producing the separate audited financial statements for every subsidiary issuer or guarantor that would otherwise be required.
Eligibility for Alternative Disclosures
In order to substitute Alternative Disclosures for audited financial statements of individual subsidiary issuers or guarantors, the offering must meet the following conditions:

• The issuer or guarantor must be a consolidated subsidiary of the parent company.
• The parent company must have filed consolidated financial statements and either is or will become an Exchange Act reporting company as a result of the offering.
• The guaranteed security must be debt or “debt-like.”
• The parent company must either:
  • issue or co-issue the security jointly and severally with one or more of its consolidated subsidiaries or
  • fully and unconditionally guarantee the security which is issued by or co-issued with one or more of its consolidated subsidiaries.

Requirements for Alternative Disclosures
The Alternative Disclosures will consist of, to the extent material, qualitative narrative disclosure and summarized financial information, along with an exhibit listing all subsidiary issuers and guarantors. Financial and non-financial disclosures must also include any additional information that would be material to an investor to evaluate the sufficiency of the guarantee and to make the financial information not misleading. The Alternative Disclosures may be located in the MD&A or in the notes to the financial statements. The obligation to provide Alternative Disclosures ends when the issuers and guarantors no longer have an Exchange Act reporting obligation with respect to the securities, even though the securities themselves remain outstanding.

Narrative Disclosures should provide a description of:

• The issuers and guarantors (the Obligor Group).
• The terms and conditions of the guarantees and how payments to holders may be affected by the composition of and relationships among the issuers, guarantors, and non-obligor subsidiaries.
• Other factors that may affect payments to holders of the guaranteed securities, including restrictions on dividends, guarantee enforceability, or the rights of a non-controlling interest holder.

Summarized Financial Information must be presented for the Obligor Group covering the parent company’s most recently completed financial year and year-to-date interim period. The summarized financial information may be presented on a combined basis after eliminating intercompany balances and transactions and excluding investments by obligors in non-obligors. If any financial or non-financial disclosure does not apply to the combined Obligor Group, summarized financial information for the affected obligors should be presented separately. Narrative disclosure may be substituted for separately presented summarized financial information where it can be easily explained and understood.

The registration statement for the offering must include pre-acquisition summarized financial information for any “significant” business (and/or its subsidiaries) that has been acquired by the parent company since the last balance sheet date where that business or subsidiary will be a member of the Obligor Group.

The parent company may omit summarized financial information if the parent determines such information would not be material to investors. S-X Rule 13-01(a)(4) lists four non-exclusive examples that permit omission of the summarized financial information, if the conditions are met and the omission disclosed.

• The financial information of the combined Obligor Group is not materially different than the corresponding information in the parent company’s consolidated financial statements.
• The combined Obligor Group, excluding investments in subsidiaries that are non-obligors, has no material assets, liabilities, or results of operations.
• The issuer is a finance subsidiary of the parent company, the parent company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the security, and no other subsidiary of the parent company guarantees the security.134

• The issuer is a finance subsidiary that co-issued the security, jointly and severally, with the parent company, and no other subsidiary of the parent company guarantees the security.135

Subsidiary Obligor Exhibit must be provided under S-K Item 601 listing each subsidiary member of the Obligor Group and its role as issuer or guarantor in relation to the securities.136

Secured Offerings
Where the securities of one or more of an issuer’s affiliates have been pledged as collateral for securities being offered, S-X Rule 13-02 requires, to the extent material, substantially the same summarized financial information and non-financial disclosure for each affiliate as would be required under S-X Rule 13-01 for a subsidiary issuer or guarantor.137 The affiliate disclosure is subject to the same requirement to include any financial and narrative information that would be material to investors to evaluate the pledge of securities and to make the financial and non-financial information not misleading.138 This information may be located outside the financial statements and is required only as long as the issuer maintains an Exchange Act reporting obligation with respect to the securities.139

Investments Accounted for Under the Equity Method
S-X Rule 3-09 generally requires the inclusion of separate audited financial statements for significant investments that are accounted for under the equity method.141 S-X Rule 3-09 applies whether the investee is held by an issuer, a subsidiary, or another investee.142 Note that if the investee is not already an SEC-reporting company, its financial statements need not be audited by a PCAOB-registered firm, and the audit report need not refer to PCAOB standards (although in some circumstances, such as when the principal auditor of the issuer is making reference in its report to the investee auditor’s report, the audit must be carried out in accordance with PCAOB standards).143

For investees, significance is evaluated under S-X Rule 1-02(w) based on the following two tests:144

• whether the amount of the issuer’s (and its other subsidiaries’) investment in and advances to the investee exceeds 20% of the total assets of the issuer and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal year (Test 1); and

• whether both (where applicable) the equity of the issuer (and its other subsidiaries) in: (i) the pre-tax income from continuing operations and (ii) the consolidated total revenues from continuing operations (after intercompany eliminations) of the equity investee exceeds 20% of such income and revenue of the issuer and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis for the most recently completed fiscal year (Test 2).146 The revenue component of Test 2 applies only when both the issuer and its consolidated subsidiaries and the equity investee had material revenue in each of the two most recently completed fiscal years.

If either of the above tests is met, separate financial statements of the investee must be filed.147 Insofar as practicable, those financial statements must be as of the same dates and for the same periods as the required audited annual financial statements of the issuer, but need only be audited for those fiscal years in which either Test 1 or Test 2 is met at or above the 20% level.148 Regardless of whether it presents two or three years of its own financial statements, in its initial registration statement an EGC may present two years of investee financial statements.149

US GAAP permits the use of the “fair value option” for certain investments that would otherwise be accounted for under the equity method. If an issuer elects the fair value option, Test 2 above is altered to compare the change in fair value of the investee (as reflected in the issuer’s financial statements) to the issuer’s consolidated pre-tax income for the most recently completed fiscal year.

For equity investees that meet any of the three S-X Rule 1-02(w) criteria at the greater than 10% but not more than the 20% significance level, S-X Rule 4-08(g) requires the presentation of summary financial information as described by S-X Rule 1-02(bb).150
Financial statements of equity investees that are presented under local GAAP or non-IASB IFRS to comply with S-X Rule 3-09 do not have to be reconciled to US GAAP unless either of the Test 1 or Test 2 criteria is greater than 30% (calculated on a US GAAP basis). That reconciliation may be done under the less comprehensive requirements of Item 17 of Form 20-F rather than Item 18. A description of the differences in accounting methods is required, however, regardless of the significance levels. Equity investees using IASB IFRS do not need to include a reconciliation.

Summary financial information for a foreign business provided under S-X Rule 4-08(g) must be presented under the same GAAP used by the issuer. For example, a US company would report summarized information for a foreign investee under US GAAP no matter what basis of accounting is used by the foreign investee to prepare its own financial statements.

**Segment Reporting**

In addition to all the consolidated financial information required to be included in an offering document, companies that are engaged in more than one line of business or operate in more than one geographic area may also be required to include separate revenue and operating data for each of their business lines or geographic areas. This requirement is a function of whether the company’s business comprises more than one operating segment, as defined by US GAAP. S-K Item 303 requires certain financial reporting and textual disclosure in the MD&A for each relevant, reporting segment or other subdivision of the business if the discussion would be appropriate to understanding the business. FASB Accounting Standards Codification 280, “Segment Reporting” (ASC 280), provides detailed guidance for when a component of a larger enterprise constitutes an operating segment and how its discrete financial information must be reported.

Generally, an operating segment is a component of a larger enterprise:

- that engages in business activities from which it may earn revenues and incur expenses (including revenues and expenses relating to transactions with other components of the same enterprise);
- whose operating results are regularly reviewed by the enterprise’s chief operating decision maker to make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segment and assess its performance; and
- for which discrete financial information is available.

The aim of segment reporting is to align public financial reporting with a company’s internal reporting in order to permit financial analysts and the public to see the overall enterprise the same way management sees it. The most critical factor in determining whether an issuer has more than one operating segment is how management runs its business. Whether an issuer can aggregate operating segments is highly fact-specific and depends on factors such as economic similarity, the similarity of the products or services sold, the nature of the production process, customer type, distribution methods, and the regulatory environment for the business. The determination is very subjective and is often the subject of much discussion with the company’s accountants and, through the SEC comment process, with the SEC Staff.

Once a segment has been identified, the issuer must provide information about the segment if it meets any of the following 10% thresholds:

- its reported revenue (including both sales to external customers and inter-segment sales) is 10% or more of the combined revenue (internal and external) of all reported operating segments;
- the absolute amount of its reported profit or loss is 10% or more of the greater, in absolute amount, of (i) the combined profit of all operating segments that did not report a loss or (ii) the combined loss of all operating segments that did report a loss; or
- its assets are 10% or more of the combined assets of all operating segments.

A company with more than one segment (or aggregated segments) in excess of any of these thresholds must disclose for each such segment the revenues from external customers, a measure of profit or loss, and the total assets attributable to that segment, as well as a reconciliation to the corresponding consolidated amounts. Additional information on items such as equity investments and capital expenditures may be required under
ASC 280 if such amounts are reviewed by the company on a segment basis. For interim periods, disclosure must include a measure of profit or loss for each segment, reconciliations, and material changes to total assets. Financial disclosure for segments will typically be included in the financial statements and may be part of a discussion on operating segments in the MD&A if the company concludes such information is necessary to understand the business. The effect of these requirements is to force disclosure of profitability by segment, which many issuers are reluctant to do for competitive reasons.

The identification and reporting of financial information for operating segments will be critical in the offering process, as the time to prepare such information, the effect on textual disclosure, and the impact on enterprise valuation may all be significant. The need for segment reporting is always considered carefully when a company is issuing securities for the first time. However, the issue should be revisited whenever the company has entered into new business lines or if management has begun to analyze its business in a new way that may impact the original segment analysis. Because the guidance of ASC 280 is complex and its application very fact specific, it is important to begin an early dialogue with the independent auditors when there may be segment reporting issues.

**Supplemental Schedules for Certain Transactions**

S-X Rule 5-04 requires a number of supplemental schedules for particular industries and circumstances. Each schedule contains additional financial information that must be audited and provided, typically including:

- **Schedule I – Condensed Financial Information of Registrant (known as “parent-only” financial statements):** requires condensed balance sheets and statements of comprehensive income and cash flows on a non-consolidated basis as of the end of the latest fiscal year if the amount of restricted net assets of subsidiaries exceeds 25% of the issuer’s consolidated net assets as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal year. “Restricted net assets” are the issuer’s proportionate share of net assets of consolidated subsidiaries (after intercompany eliminations), which as of the end of the most recent fiscal year may not be transferred to the parent company by subsidiaries in the form of loans, advances, or cash dividends without the consent of a third party (i.e., lender, regulatory agency, foreign government, etc.).

- **Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts:** requires an analysis of each valuation and qualifying account (e.g., allowance for doubtful accounts, allowance for obsolescence).

- **Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation:** requires real estate operating and investment companies to disclose certain financial details regarding each of their properties.

- **Schedule IV – Mortgage Loans on Real Estate:** requires real estate operating and investment companies to disclose details of each mortgage loan that accounts for 3% or more of the carrying value of all of the issuer’s mortgages.

- **Schedule V – Supplemental Information Concerning Property-Casualty Insurance Operations:** requires disclosure as to liabilities on property-casualty insurance claims if the issuer, its subsidiaries, or 50%-or-less-owned, equity-basis investees have such liabilities. However, the schedule may be omitted if reserves for unpaid property-casualty claims and claims adjustment expenses did not, in the aggregate, exceed 50% of common stockholders’ equity of the issuer and its consolidated subsidiaries as of the beginning of the fiscal year.

Note that issuers in specific industries may have schedule requirements that vary from those listed above. In addition, an issuer may provide the schedule information separately or in the notes to the audited financial statements.

**Industry Guides**

S-K Item 801 sets out five industry “guides” requiring enhanced disclosure of financial and operational metrics for issuers in certain industries:

- **Guide 3 – Statistical Disclosure by Bank Holding Companies:** requires disclosure of analyses of interest earnings, investment and loan portfolios, loan loss experience, deposit types, returns on equity and assets, and short-term deposits.
Guide 4 – Prospectuses Relating to Interests in Oil and Gas Programs: requires enhanced disclosure relating to the offering terms and participation in costs and revenues among investors and others, as well as a 10-year financial summary of any drilling programs by the issuer and its associates, including recovery on investment for investors in those programs.

Guide 5 – Preparation of Registration Statements Relating to Interests in Real Estate Limited Partnerships: requires a summary of the financial performance of any other real estate investment programs sponsored by the general partner and its affiliates.

Guide 6 – Disclosure Concerning Unpaid Claims and Claim Adjustment Expenses of Property-Casualty Insurance Underwriters: requires disclosure of details of reserves and historical claim data if reserves for unpaid property-casualty claims and claim adjustment expenses of the issuer, its consolidated and unconsolidated subsidiaries, and equity investees exceed 50% of the common stockholders’ equity of the issuer and its consolidated subsidiaries.

In recent years, the SEC has rescinded several industry guides and moved the disclosure requirements into subparts of S-K.

S-K Item 1200 (formerly Guide 2): requires enhanced disclosure of oil and gas reserves (including from non-traditional sources), the company’s progress in converting proved undeveloped reserves into proved developed reserves, technologies used in establishing reserves, the company’s internal controls over reserves estimates, and disclosure based on geographic area (as defined). Required disclosure also includes information regarding proved undeveloped reserves; oil and gas production; drilling and other exploratory and development activities; present activities; delivery commitments; and oil and gas properties, wells, operations, and acreage. Disclosure of probable and possible reserves and oil and gas reserves’ sensitivity to price is optional under S-K Item 1200.165

S-K Item 1300 (formerly Guide 7): disclosure of mineral resources and reserves that have been determined on the company’s properties. The company must provide summary disclosure about its properties in the aggregate along with detailed disclosure about individually material properties, including location of the property, history of previous operations, and a description of the present condition of and operations on the property. The company must also disclose material exploration results and related exploration activity and exploration targets, if the disclosure is accompanied by specified cautionary and explanatory statements. The disclosure must be based on and accurately reflect information and supporting documentation prepared by a mining expert—or “qualified person,“166 including a dated and signed technical report summary, which identifies and summarizes the information reviewed and conclusions reached about the mineral resources or mineral reserves determined to be on each material property. The technical report summary must be filed as an exhibit when disclosing mineral reserves or mineral resources for the first time or when there is a material change in the mineral reserves or mineral resources from the last technical report summary filed for the property.167

Compiling the information required by these industry guides and S-K Items may be a significant undertaking, and the issuer’s financial and operating management should consult with its professional advisors early in the process if an industry guide applies to the offering.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

S-K Item 305 sets out various specific requirements for quantitative and qualitative disclosure about market risk sensitive instruments (such as derivatives). This disclosure can be significant for companies with substantial trading portfolios or that engage in extensive hedging.
Some Related Issues

Additional Financial Information That Is Typically Included
In addition to the formal requirements of S-K and S-X, it is customary to include additional operational and other metrics in the offering document to help investors understand the issuer’s business. This information is usually included at the end of the Selected Financial Data section under a caption labeled “Other Financial Data.” The three most common examples are described below.

Summary Financial Data
A page of summary financial data is always included in the “summary box” in the offering document. Although there are no specific line item requirements for this key marketing page, it usually contains the same line items as the “Selected Financial Data” page that appears later in the disclosure document, including the additional operational and other metrics included in the “Other Financial Data” section. These additional metrics will vary with the type of issuer and its industry and will be selected based on the criteria that management and the investment community monitor to evaluate performance or liquidity. Typical examples include comparable store sales data for a retailer, capital expenditures for a manufacturer, and subscriber numbers for a cable television company. The “Other Financial Data” section is also typically where non-GAAP financial measures, such as Adjusted EBITDA, are presented.

Recent Financial Results
If a significant amount of time has passed since the most recent financial statements included in the offering document, it may be appropriate to include a summary of the quarter in progress (or recently ended) in the “summary box” even before full financial statements for that quarter are required. Examples of “recent results” disclosures are most common after a quarter is completed but before financial statements for that quarter have become available. The issuer and the underwriters will want to tell investors as soon as possible about any positive improvement in operating trends, while if the recent results are negative, recent results disclosure may be advisable to avoid any negative surprises for investors when the full quarterly/half yearly numbers become available.

Recent Developments
To the extent material, the likely consequences of material recent developments may also be disclosed in the “summary box” or the MD&A section of the disclosure. For example, it is customary to discuss a material recent or pending and probable acquisition, whether or not audited financial statements of the acquired or to-be-acquired business are required to be presented. This practice will often result in a “Recent Developments” paragraph in the summary and a discussion of the impact of the pending or recently completed transaction on margins, debt levels, etc., in a section of the MD&A labeled “Overview,” “Impact of the Acquisition,” or a similar title. The textual disclosure may also include a discussion of any special charges or anticipated synergies expected to result from the acquisition or other pending event.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures
Many issuers choose to disclose measures of financial performance or liquidity that, while derived from GAAP figures presented in a company’s financial statements, are not themselves calculated in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA is perhaps the best-known (and most widely used) non-GAAP financial measure.

The SEC’s rules (adopted in response to Section 401(b) of the US Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley)) limit the use of non-GAAP financial measures in various ways. First, Regulation G applies to any public disclosure of non-GAAP financial measures. Second, Item 10(e) of S-K layers on additional requirements for disclosures in Securities Act and Exchange Act filings (and earnings releases furnished to the SEC under Item 2.02 of Form 8-K).
**Regulation G**

A non-GAAP financial measure under Regulation G is broadly defined as a numerical measure of financial performance that excludes (or includes) amounts that are otherwise included in (or excluded from) the comparable measure calculated and presented in the financial statements under GAAP.\(^{170}\)

The term “non-GAAP financial measure” carves out certain items including:

- operating measures and ratios or statistical measures calculated using financial measures determined in accordance with (1) GAAP (e.g., GAAP sales per square foot and operating margin calculated by dividing GAAP revenues into GAAP operating income) or (2) measures that are not themselves non-GAAP financial measures;\(^{171}\) or

- financial measures required to be disclosed by GAAP, SEC rules, or an applicable system of regulation of a government, governmental authority, or a self-regulatory organization (e.g., segment measures required by ASC 280).\(^{172}\)

Under Regulation G, if a public company discloses a non-GAAP financial measure, it must:\(^{173}\)

- present the most directly comparable financial measure calculated in accordance with GAAP; and

- quantitatively reconcile the differences between the non-GAAP financial measure and the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure.\(^{174}\)

In addition, Regulation G contains an antifraud prohibition – that is, an issuer may not make any non-GAAP financial measure public if the measure contains a material misstatement or omission.\(^{175}\)

**S-K Item 10(e)**

For purposes of Item 10(e), the term “non-GAAP financial measures” has the same meaning as under Regulation G.\(^{176}\) Under Item 10(e), if a public company includes a non-GAAP financial measure in an SEC filing (or an earnings release furnished under Form 8-K Item 2.02) it must also include:\(^{177}\)

- a presentation, with equal or greater prominence, of the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure;

- a quantitative reconciliation of the differences between the non-GAAP financial measure and the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure;

- a statement why management believes the non-GAAP financial measure provides useful information for investors; and

- to the extent material, a statement of the additional purposes for which management uses the non-GAAP financial measure.

Furthermore, Item 10(e) prohibits in SEC filings (but not an earnings release furnished under Form 8-K Item 2.02), among other things:\(^{178}\)

- non-GAAP measures of liquidity that exclude items requiring cash settlement, other than EBIT and EBITDA;

- non-GAAP measures of performance that eliminate or smooth items characterized as non-recurring, unusual, or infrequent when it is reasonably likely that a similar charge or gain will recur within two years, or there was a similar charge or gain within the prior two years;

- the presentation of non-GAAP financial measures on the face of the financial statements, in the accompanying notes, or on the face of any pro forma financial information required to be disclosed by Article 11 of S-X; and

- using a name for non-GAAP financial measures that is the same as, or confusingly similar to, titles or descriptions used for GAAP financial measures.

The SEC Staff monitors the use of non-GAAP financial measures and has issued several interpretations of SEC rules. The guidance covers a range of topics including: giving equal or greater prominence to GAAP measures; presentation of per-share measures; omission of reconciliation for forward-looking, non-GAAP financial
Form 8-K Filing Requirements in Connection With Significant Acquisitions or Dispositions

Completion of the acquisition or disposition of a "significant amount of assets" other than in the ordinary course of business must be disclosed under Item 2.01 of Form 8-K, and in turn may trigger the requirement for financial statements of the acquired business under Item 9.01 of Form 8-K. Instruction 4 to Item 2.01 provides that an acquisition or disposition is deemed to involve a significant amount of assets if:

- the issuer's and its other subsidiaries' equity in the net book value of the assets or the amount paid or received for the assets upon such acquisition or disposition exceeded 10% of the total assets of the issuer and its consolidated subsidiaries; or

- it involved a "business" that is "significant."

A "significant" acquisition of a business for these purposes is one meeting the definition of a "significant subsidiary" under S-X Rule 1-02(w) above the 20% level, as discussed above.

If a completed acquisition of a business results in Item 2.01 disclosure, Item 9.01 of Form 8-K comes into play. That item requires a company to file separate audited financial statements of the acquired business under S-X Rule 3-05 or S-X Rule 3-14, based on the significance of the acquisition. In other words, if an acquisition is significant above a 20% level, financial statements of the acquired business need to be provided. Similarly, if S-X Rule 3-05 or S-X Rule 3-14 financial statements are needed then S-X Article 11 pro forma financial information will be required (and conversely, if no S-X Rule 3-05 or S-X Rule 3-14 financials are needed, then no S-X Article 11 financials will generally be required). Item 9.01(a) provides that the required financial information may be filed with the initial Form 8-K or by amendment to that Form 8-K not later than 71 calendar days after the due date for the initial Form 8-K (i.e., four business days after the occurrence of the event).

The situation is different for dispositions. Unlike in the case of acquisitions, there is no link between the need for S-X Rule 3-05 or S-X Rule 3-14 financial statements and S-X Article 11 pro forma financial information. Neither S-X Rule 3-05 nor S-X Rule 3-14 applies to dispositions, but you may nonetheless need S-X Article 11 pro forma financial information. For example, in the case of a disposition that is significant at a 22% level, pro forma financial information would be required notwithstanding that there are no required financial statements of the disposed business.

Third, the pro forma financial information required in an Item 9.01 Form 8-K must be filed more quickly in the case of dispositions, because if S-X Article 11 pro forma financial information is required, a company does not get the benefit of the 71-day extension under Item 9.01(a) of Form 8-K. In other words, the company has four business days to prepare and file its pro forma financial information (rather than four business days plus 71 additional calendar days).

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

An IPO will involve close scrutiny of a company's internal control over financial reporting, or ICFR. Once a company is public, Section 404(a) of Sarbanes-Oxley requires an assessment by management of the effectiveness of the issuer's ICFR, while Section 404(b) requires an attestation report of the issuer's independent auditors on management's assessment. Compliance with Section 404 can be a major undertaking for a newly public company. The SEC has adopted rules to allow an IPO issuer to wait until its second annual report to provide management's Section 404(a) assessment and its auditor's Section 404(b) attestation.

Issuers that are "large accelerated filers" or "accelerated filers" must comply with both the Section 404(a) management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting and the Section 404(b) independent auditor's attestation report in annual reports filed on Form 10-K with the SEC. By contrast, issuers that are neither large accelerated filers nor accelerated filers are required only to provide management's assessment of internal control under Section 404(a). An EGC is not required to provide the Section 404(b) independent auditor's attestation report for as long as it qualifies as an EGC.
If an entire annual report is incorporated by reference into a registration statement (as is the case with a registration statement on Form S-3), the Section 404 reports and disclosures will also be part of the registration statement.

**Interactive Data**

The SEC has adopted rules that require public companies and foreign private issuers that prepare their financial statements in accordance with US GAAP or IASB IFRS to supplement their filed financial statements with financial statements formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL). XBRL is a form of electronic communication whose main feature includes interactive electronic tagging of both financial and non-financial data. On June 28, 2018, the SEC adopted a rule requiring all operating company filers (including foreign private issuers) to embed XBRL data directly into the body of an SEC filing, rather than tag the information in a separate exhibit. The requirement to adopt Inline XBRL began for fiscal periods ending on or after June 15, 2019 for large accelerated filers and June 15, 2020 for accelerated filers that, in either case, prepare their financial statements in accordance with US GAAP. It will begin on June 15, 2021 for all other filers.

A previously non-reporting company is not required to include XBRL financial statements in its initial Securities Act registration statement (i.e., an IPO on Form S-1 or an initial exchange offer on Form S-4) or its initial Exchange Act registration statement (i.e., Form 10). It will begin including XBRL financial statements with the first Form 10-Q (or annual report on Form 20-F) it files as a reporting company. Once having provided its first XBRL financial statements, the company would include XBRL financial statements in a subsequent Securities Act registration statement, but only if it includes a price or price range (and not if it merely incorporates financial statements by reference). This means, for example, that XBRL financial statements are not needed in a base registration statement for a shelf offering.

**Special Considerations in Rule 144A Transactions and for Foreign Private Issuers**

**Rule 144A Transactions**

The disclosure document in a Rule 144A offering is typically modeled after a public offering prospectus. This holds true for financial statement requirements as well – although the line item disclosure rules of the Securities Act do not strictly apply to private offerings under Rule 144A, it has become standard practice to follow these rules as if they applied to Rule 144A offerings, with only limited exceptions. In many situations, the commitment committees of the major financing sources will insist on including financial disclosure in the Rule 144A offering circular that is in all material respects consistent with the financial statement requirements that would apply to a registration statement filed with the SEC. Rule 144A offerings are typically sold to buyers who expect levels of disclosure substantially equivalent to what they would receive in a public deal. Additionally, in the case of a Rule 144A offering with registration rights, the Rule 144A circular will be followed by a registered exchange offer prospectus, and the buyers of the offered securities will thereby receive full Securities Act disclosure after the closing. Therefore, Rule 144A offering circulars typically follow the public offering rules described above in all material respects.

It is not uncommon, however, for a working group on a Rule 144A deal to decide to dispense with a particular financial statement requirement if the group determines that that particular item will not materially alter the total mix of information provided, or if there is another way to disclose the item that the S-X requirement is targeting.

After all, Rule 144A(d)(4)’s information requirement is very modest and calls only for “the issuer’s most recent balance sheet and profit and loss and retained earnings statements, and similar financial statements for such part of the two preceding fiscal years as the issuer has been in operation (the financial statements should be audited to the extent reasonably available).” A more flexible approach can also be justified by the fact that the liability standards of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act do not apply to Rule 144A deals. Although Rule 10b-5 does apply to Rule 144A offerings, it is more difficult for disgruntled purchasers to demonstrate the requisite scienter required to establish a valid 10b-5 claim. As a result, it is not uncommon to provide only two years of audited financial statements in a Rule 144A transaction where a registration statement would require three years. This is true for the issuer and for material acquired businesses. We have seen this decision taken in a number of deals,
particularly where the issuer is already in its third or fourth fiscal quarter, since the third year of audited financial statements will likely be completed in the natural course before the exchange offer registration statement is required to be filed. Other working groups have elected to exclude some of the finer elements of the financial information requirements where they have determined that such additional information would not materially alter the total mix of information presented. Although the industry custom is to follow the public offering rules as if they applied to the Rule 144A deal, there is no requirement in Rule 144A to do so, and some working groups will conclude that not every detail of the information called for in a registration statement is required to present 144A investors with full and fair disclosure.

As the full impact of Sarbanes-Oxley has made itself felt upon the private equity community and smaller public companies (for whom a few extra million dollars of administrative expenses are material), we have seen a rise in “144A-for-life” debt financings. These transactions are identical to regular Rule 144A offerings, except that they do not offer bond investors any registration rights and they do not require the bond issuers to become or remain voluntary filers of Exchange Act reports. Because these offerings will not be followed by a registered exchange offer prospectus that is fully compliant with S-X, some deal teams are concluding that “144A-for-life” disclosure documents can more freely dispense with non-core S-X requirements than would be the case in a Rule 144A offering with registration rights. There is no clear consensus among practitioners at this time as to whether, or to what extent, such additional flexibility is appropriate.

**Special Rules Applicable to Foreign Private Issuers and Acquired Foreign Businesses**

The financial statement requirements for foreign private issuers differ in a number of significant ways from those of domestic US issuers. These requirements, discussed extensively in our companion publication “Financial Statement Requirements in US Securities Offerings: What Non-US Issuers Need to Know,” are summarized briefly below:

**Ability to Use US GAAP, IFRS, or Local GAAP**

US domestic companies must file financial statements with the SEC in accordance with US GAAP.¹⁹³ The financial statements of foreign private issuers, however, may be prepared using US GAAP, IASB IFRS, or local GAAP.¹⁹⁴ In the case of foreign private issuers that use IASB IFRS, no reconciliation to US GAAP is needed.¹⁹⁵ By contrast, if local GAAP or non-IASB IFRS is used, a note to the consolidated financial statements (both annual and required interim statements in a prospectus) must include a reconciliation to US GAAP.¹⁹⁶

**Quarterly Reporting Not Required; Current Reporting on Form 8-K Not Required**

Unlike domestic US issuers, foreign private issuers are not required to file quarterly reports (including quarterly financial information) on Form 10-Q.¹⁹⁷ They also are not required to use Form 8-K for current reports and instead furnish (not file) Form 6-K with the SEC.¹⁹⁸ Some foreign private issuers, however, choose (or are required by contract) to file the same forms with the SEC that domestic US issuers use. In that case, they must comply with the requirements of the forms for domestic issuers (and would file quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, in addition to annual reports on Form 10-K).¹⁹⁹

**Financial Information Goes Stale More Slowly**

The SEC’s rules also allow a foreign private issuer’s registration statement to contain financial information that is of an earlier date than that allowed for domestic US issuers. In particular, foreign private issuers can omit interim unaudited financial statements if a registration statement becomes effective less than nine months after the end of the last audited fiscal year (unless the issuer has already published more current interim financial information).²⁰⁰ After that time, a foreign private issuer must provide interim unaudited financial statements (which may be unaudited) covering at least the first six months of the fiscal year, together with comparative financial statements for the same period in the prior year.²⁰¹
Conclusion

Knowing what financial statements will be required to complete a particular financing and when they go “stale” is a critical step in planning a financing. This User’s Guide is designed to provide a roadmap to the answers to those questions in the typical cases that we face every day, but is of course not a substitute for reading the rules and regulations we have summarized. In any particular case, securities counsel and the auditors will need to be consulted to confirm your analysis.

If you have any questions about this User’s Guide, please contact one of the authors listed below or the Latham or KPMG personnel with whom you normally consult:

Latham & Watkins LLP
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<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexander F. Cohen</td>
<td>+1.202.637.2284</td>
<td><a href="mailto:alexander.cohen@lw.com">alexander.cohen@lw.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul M. Dudek</td>
<td>+1.202.637.2377</td>
<td><a href="mailto:paul.dudek@lw.com">paul.dudek@lw.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joel H. Trotter</td>
<td>+1.202.637.2165</td>
<td><a href="mailto:joel.trotter@lw.com">joel.trotter@lw.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KPMG LLP
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<tr>
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<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan R. Guthart</td>
<td>+1.212.954.1961</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jguthart@kpmg.com">jguthart@kpmg.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timothy D. Brown</td>
<td>+1.212.954.8856</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tdbrown@kpmg.com">tdbrown@kpmg.com</a></td>
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<tr>
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<td>+1.212.872.5718</td>
<td><a href="mailto:emccloskey@kpmg.com">emccloskey@kpmg.com</a></td>
</tr>
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</table>
A foreign private issuer is any issuer (other than a foreign government) incorporated or organized under the laws of a jurisdiction outside the United States, unless (1) more than 50% of its outstanding voting securities are directly or indirectly owned by US residents and (2) either (A) the majority of its executive officers or directors are US citizens or residents, (B) more than 50% of its assets are located in the United States, or (C) its business is administered principally in the United States. See Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act); Rule 3b-4 under the US Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).

The financial statement requirements discussed in this User’s Guide also apply to spin-offs registered on Form 10 for the distribution of shares of a subsidiary to the existing shareholders of a public company. However, we do not cover financial statements in mergers and acquisitions (M&A) transactions. When securities are registered on Form S-4 or F-4 in connection with a stock-for-stock acquisition, different requirements may apply.

Generally, Form S-3 may be used by an issuer to sell securities (provided that the issuer has at least $75 million of common equity outstanding held by non-affiliates) if the issuer has been subject to the Exchange Act reporting requirements and timely filed all Exchange Act reports for the 12 months prior to registration, and neither the issuer nor its subsidiaries have had any material defaults on a payment related to a dividend, sinking fund, indebtedness, or rentals under long-term leases. See Form S-3, General Instructions.

In particular, Form S-1 allows an issuer to incorporate information by reference from its previously filed Exchange Act reports if the issuer:

- is required to file Exchange Act reports;
- has filed all required reports and other materials under the Exchange Act during the prior 12 months (or for such shorter period that the issuer was required to file such reports and materials);
- has filed an annual report for its most recently completed fiscal year;
- is not, and during the past three years neither the issuer nor any of its predecessors was, a blank check issuer, shell company, or penny stock issuer; and
- makes its Exchange Act reports readily available on its website (including by way of a hyperlink to the reports). See Form S-1, General Instructions.

The requirements of Regulation S-X (S-X) Rule 3-01 are imported into both Form S-1 and Form S-3. See Form S-1, Item 11(e) (noting financial statements must be included meeting the requirements of S-X generally); see also Form S-3, Item 12(a) (noting the registrant’s latest annual report on Form 10-K must be incorporated by reference; in turn, Form 10-K, Item 8 specifies that financial statements must be included meeting the requirements of S-X, with certain exceptions).

See S-X Rule 3-01(a). If the filing is made on or before February 14 (i.e., within 45 days after the end of the prior fiscal year), and audited financial statements for the most recent year are not available, the balance sheet may be as of the end of the two preceding fiscal years. See S-X Rule 3-01(b). In this case, the filing must include an additional balance sheet as of an interim date at least as current as the end of the issuer’s third fiscal quarter of its most recently completed fiscal year. See id. Under certain circumstances, this approach may be taken if the filing is made after 45 days but within 90 days of the end of the issuer’s fiscal year. See S-X Rule 3-01(c). In any event, interim balance sheets need not be audited. See S-X Rule 3-01(f).


See S-X Rule 3-02(a) (statements of comprehensive income and cash flows); see also S-X Rule 3-04 (changes in stockholders’ equity).

See S-X Rule 3-06. Under this rule, the SEC will accept financial statements for periods of not less than nine, 21, and 33 consecutive months as substantial compliance with the requirement to provide financial statements for one, two, and three years, respectively. In particular, whenever audited financial statements are required for a period of one, two, or three years, a single audited period of nine to 12 months may count as a year if:

- the issuer has changed its fiscal year during the period;
- the issuer has made a significant business acquisition for which financial statements are required under S-X Rule 3-05 and the financial statements covering the interim period pertain to the business being acquired; or
- the SEC grants permission to do so under S-X Rule 3-13, provided that financial statements are filed that cover the full fiscal year or years for all other years in the time period.

See id. Note that historically the SEC Staff has been reluctant to grant this relief. See Financial Reporting Manual, Note to Section 1140.8 (issuer must show unusual circumstances). On June 29, 2017, the SEC Staff signaled that it might be willing to grant permission if an issuer is able to argue that the information is not necessary for investor protection.

While an issuer should take all steps to ensure that a draft registration statement is substantially complete when submitted, we will not delay processing if an issuer reasonably believes omitted financial information will not be required at the time the registration statement is publicly filed. In addition, we will consider an issuer’s specific facts and circumstances in connection with any request made under Rule 3-13 of Regulation S-X.


---

**Endnotes**

1. The JOBS Act created a new category of issuer, called an emerging growth company (EGC). EGCs benefit from various accommodations designed to make the initial public offering (IPO) process more attractive and to ease the transition from private to public company.

2. For a detailed discussion of these rules, see our companion publication “Financial Statement Requirements in US Securities Offerings: What Non-US Issuers Need to Know.”

3. Note that historically the SEC Staff has been reluctant to grant this relief. See Financial Reporting Manual, Note to Section 1140.8 (issuer must show unusual circumstances). On June 29, 2017, the SEC Staff signaled that it might be willing to grant permission if an issuer is able to argue that the information is not necessary for investor protection.

4. Generally, Form S-3 may be used by an issuer to sell securities (provided that the issuer has at least $75 million of common equity outstanding held by non-affiliates) if the issuer has been subject to the Exchange Act reporting requirements and timely filed all Exchange Act reports for the 12 months prior to registration, and neither the issuer nor its subsidiaries have had any material defaults on a payment related to a dividend, sinking fund, indebtedness, or rentals under long-term leases. See Form S-3, General Instructions.

5. In particular, Form S-1 allows an issuer to incorporate information by reference from its previously filed Exchange Act reports if the issuer:

   - is required to file Exchange Act reports;
   - has filed all required reports and other materials under the Exchange Act during the prior 12 months (or for such shorter period that the issuer was required to file such reports and materials);
   - has filed an annual report for its most recently completed fiscal year;
   - is not, and during the past three years neither the issuer nor any of its predecessors was, a blank check issuer, shell company, or penny stock issuer; and
   - makes its Exchange Act reports readily available on its website (including by way of a hyperlink to the reports). See Form S-1, General Instructions.

6. The requirements of Regulation S-X (S-X) Rule 3-01 are imported into both Form S-1 and Form S-3. See Form S-1, Item 11(e) (noting financial statements must be included meeting the requirements of S-X generally); see also Form S-3, Item 12(a) (noting the registrant’s latest annual report on Form 10-K must be incorporated by reference; in turn, Form 10-K, Item 8 specifies that financial statements must be included meeting the requirements of S-X, with certain exceptions).

7. See S-X Rule 3-01(a). If the filing is made on or before February 14 (i.e., within 45 days after the end of the prior fiscal year), and audited financial statements for the most recent year are not available, the balance sheet may be as of the end of the two preceding fiscal years. See S-X Rule 3-01(b). In this case, the filing must include an additional balance sheet as of an interim date at least as current as the end of the issuer’s third fiscal quarter of its most recently completed fiscal year. See id. Under certain circumstances, this approach may be taken if the filing is made after 45 days but within 90 days of the end of the issuer’s fiscal year. See S-X Rule 3-01(c). In any event, interim balance sheets need not be audited. See S-X Rule 3-01(f).


9. See S-X Rule 3-02(a) (statements of comprehensive income and cash flows); see also S-X Rule 3-04 (changes in stockholders’ equity).

10. See S-X Rule 3-06. Under this rule, the SEC will accept financial statements for periods of not less than nine, 21, and 33 consecutive months as substantial compliance with the requirement to provide financial statements for one, two, and three years, respectively. In particular, whenever audited financial statements are required for a period of one, two, or three years, a single audited period of nine to 12 months may count as a year if:

    - the issuer has changed its fiscal year during the period;
    - the issuer has made a significant business acquisition for which financial statements are required under S-X Rule 3-05 and the financial statements covering the interim period pertain to the business being acquired; or
    - the SEC grants permission to do so under S-X Rule 3-13, provided that financial statements are filed that cover the full fiscal year or years for all other years in the time period.

See id. Note that historically the SEC Staff has been reluctant to grant this relief. See Financial Reporting Manual, Note to Section 1140.8 (issuer must show unusual circumstances). On June 29, 2017, the SEC Staff signaled that it might be willing to grant permission if an issuer is able to argue that the information is not necessary for investor protection.

While an issuer should take all steps to ensure that a draft registration statement is substantially complete when submitted, we will not delay processing if an issuer reasonably believes omitted financial information will not be required at the time the registration statement is publicly filed. In addition, we will consider an issuer’s specific facts and circumstances in connection with any request made under Rule 3-13 of Regulation S-X.

Nonpublic submissions are not automatically exempt from FOIA, and issuers are advised to request confidential treatment under SEC Rule 83. 2017 Procedures, at n.1. Making a Rule 83 request does not guarantee that the information will be protected from public disclosure; the issuer simply puts the SEC on notice that it wants the information kept confidential. The SEC will resolve whether to honor this request. 2017 Procedures, at n.1. Making a Rule 83 request does not guarantee that the information will be protected from public disclosure; the issuer simply puts the SEC on notice that it wants the information kept confidential. The SEC will resolve whether to honor this request.

Beginning with the first fiscal year ending on or after August 9, 2021, Item 302(a) has been amended so that an issuer that is required to provide this information, must provide the summarized financial information in Rule 1-02(bb) for each affected quarter and the fourth quarter of the fiscal year. This information is typically incorporated by reference rather than presented in the offering document, when permitted.

Beginning with the first fiscal year ending on or after August 9, 2021, Item 302(a) has been amended so that an issuer that is required to provide this information, must provide the summarized financial information in Rule 1-02(bb) for each affected quarter and the fourth quarter of the fiscal year. This information is typically incorporated by reference rather than presented in the offering document, when permitted.

EGC status will ordinarily terminate on the last day of a fiscal year. However, the issuance in any three-year period of more than $1.0 billion in non-convertible debt securities would cause an issuer to lose its EGC status immediately. Id.

Note however, that EGC status will be extended during the registration process even if the registrant’s revenues exceed $1.07 billion or the registrant issues in excess of $1.0 billion of debt securities during the registration process. Any confidential submission or public filing by an EGC will lock in EGC status through the earlier of (i) the IPO date or (ii) one year after the issuer would have otherwise lost EGC status. Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, revising Securities Act Section 6(e)(1).

After the initial determination of EGC status, a company will remain an EGC until the earliest of:

- the last day of any fiscal year in which the company earns $1.07 billion or more in revenue;
- the date when the company qualifies as a “large accelerated filer,” with at least $700 million in public equity float;
- the last day of the fiscal year ending after the fifth anniversary of the IPO pricing date; or
- the date of issuance, in any three-year period, of more than $1.0 billion in non-convertible debt securities.

EGC status will ordinarily terminate on the last day of a fiscal year. However, the issuance in any three-year period of more than $1.0 billion in non-convertible debt securities would cause an issuer to lose its EGC status immediately. Id.

Note however, that EGC status will be extended during the registration process even if the registrant’s revenues exceed $1.07 billion or the registrant issues in excess of $1.0 billion of debt securities during the registration process. Any confidential submission or public filing by an EGC will lock in EGC status through the earlier of (i) the IPO date or (ii) one year after the issuer would have otherwise lost EGC status. Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, revising Securities Act Section 6(e)(1).

This requirement includes quarterly supplementary financial information, or each of the following line items:

- net sales;
- gross profit (net sales less costs and expenses associated directly with or allocated to products sold or services rendered);
- income (loss) from continuing operations;
- per share data based upon such income (loss) from continuing operations;
- net income (loss);
- per share data based upon net income (loss); and
- net income (loss) attributable to the registrant.

See S-K Item 302(a). This information is typically incorporated by reference rather than presented in the offering document, when permitted.

Beginning with the first fiscal year ending on or after August 9, 2021, Item 302(a) has been amended so that an issuer that is required to provide this information, must provide the summarized financial information in Rule 1-02(bb) for each affected quarter and the fourth quarter of the fiscal year along with earnings per share and the reasons for the change. Issuers may voluntarily comply with amended Item 302(a) on or after February 10, 2021. See Final Rule: Management Discussion and Analysis, Selected Financial Data, and Supplementary Financial Information, Release No. 33-10890 (November 19, 2020).

JOBS Act, Section 106(e)(1), adding Securities Act Section 6(e)(1). The confidential submission is automatically exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). JOBS Act, Section 106(e)(2), adding Securities Act Section 6(e)(2).

See 2017 Procedures. Prior to the end of the twelfth month following the effective date of the initial registration statement, these issuers may also submit the first draft of a follow-on registration statement for nonpublic review. Id.

Nonpublic submissions are not automatically exempt from FOIA, and issuers are advised to request confidential treatment under SEC Rule 83. 2017 Procedures, at n.1. Making a Rule 83 request does not guarantee that the information will be protected from public disclosure; the issuer simply puts the SEC on notice that it wants the information kept confidential. The SEC will resolve whether to honor a confidentiality request only when disclosure of the information is requested under FOIA. See Confidential Treatment Procedures Under the Freedom of Information Act, 17 C.F.R. 200.83.
The rules regarding staleness of the required financial statements for foreign private issuers vary a great deal from those applicable to US domestic issuers. Generally speaking, the financial statements for US domestic issuers go stale at a much faster rate.

We do not discuss the requirements applicable generally to “smaller reporting companies” under the SEC’s rules. For a discussion of these requirements, see Exchange Act Rule 12b-2 and S-K, Item 10(f); see also Final Rule: Smaller Reporting Company Regulatory Relief and Simplification, Release No. 33-8876 (December 19, 2007) and Final Rule: Amendments to Smaller Reporting Company Definition, Release No. 33-10513 (June 28, 2018). A smaller reporting company for these purposes generally means an issuer that is not an investment company, an asset-backed issuer, or a majority-owned subsidiary of a parent that is not a smaller reporting company and that:

• had a public float of less than $250 million; or
• had annual revenues of less than $100 million and either no public float or a public float of less than $700 million.


A business development company would use annual investment income as the measure of its annual revenue to determine whether it meets the revenue test of the “smaller reporting company” definition. See Exchange Act Rule 12b-2(4).

The rules regarding staleness of the required financial statements for foreign private issuers vary a great deal from those applicable to US domestic issuers. Generally speaking, the financial statements for US domestic issuers go stale at a much faster rate.


A business development company would use annual investment income as the measure of its annual revenue to determine whether it meets the revenue test of the “smaller reporting company” definition. See Exchange Act Rule 12b-2(4).


See Exchange Act Rule 3-12(d).

See Exchange Act Rule 3-01(c).

See id.

The rules regarding staleness of the required financial statements for foreign private issuers vary a great deal from those applicable to US domestic issuers. Generally speaking, the financial statements for US domestic issuers go stale at a much faster rate.

See S-X Rules 3-12(a), 3-12(g)(1)(i).

See S-X Rules 3-12(a), 3-12(g)(1)(ii).

See S-X Rule 3-12(a).

See S-X Rules 3-12(a), 3-12(g)(1)(i).

See S-X Rules 3-12(a), 3-12(g)(1)(ii).

See S-X Rule 3-12(a).

See S-X Rule 3-12(a).

See S-X Rule 3-12(b) (loss corporations and non-current filers); S-X Rule 3-12(d) (initial filers). This rule also applies to initial registrations under the Exchange Act on Form 10 for issuers not previously subject to Exchange Act reporting.

See S-X Rules 3-12(b), 3-12(g)(2)(i).

See S-X Rules 3-12(b), 3-12(g)(2)(ii).

See S-X Rules 3-12(b), 3-12(g)(2)(iii).

See S-X Rules 3-12(a), 3-12(g)(1)(i).

See S-X Rules 3-12(a), 3-12(g)(1)(ii).

See Form S-1, Item 11(h); Form S-3, Item 12(a)(1).

See S-K Item 303(a).


Disclosure requirements for Investment companies (including business development companies) that were formerly included in S-X Rule 3-05, have been moved to new Rule 6-11, which covers financial reporting in the event of a fund acquisition.

See Form S-1, Item 11(e); see also Form S-3, Item 11(b)(i). This requirement does not apply to annual reports. See Form 10-K, Item 8, Paragraph 1. Also, when securities are registered on Form S-4 or F-4 in connection with a stock-for-stock acquisition, somewhat different
requirements apply for the financial statements of the company being acquired. Finally, in the case of a takedown from an already effective shelf registration statement, the SEC Staff has confirmed that guidance in Financial Reporting Manual Section 2045.3 and Section 2050.3, which indicates that financial statements of an acquired business that is greater than 50% significant would be required to be filed prior to the offering (except in certain limited types of offerings specified in Financial Reporting Manual Section 2050.3), does not apply to a probable business acquisition unless management determines that the probable business acquisition constitutes a fundamental change. See The Center for Audit Quality SEC Regulations Committee Highlights (Oct. 21, 2015).

See S-X Rule 11-01(d). The question whether an acquisition is of a “business” should be evaluated in light of the facts and circumstances involved and whether there is sufficient continuity of the acquired entity’s operations prior to and after the transactions so that disclosure of prior financial information is material to an understanding of future operations. A presumption exists that a separate entity, a subsidiary, or a division is a business. However, a lesser component of an entity may also constitute a business. Among the facts and circumstances to consider in evaluating whether an acquisition of a lesser component of an entity constitutes a business are:

- whether the nature of the revenue-producing activity of the component will remain generally the same as before the transaction; or
- whether any of the following attributes remain with the component after the transaction: (i) physical facilities, (ii) employee base, (iii) market distribution system, (iv) sales force, (v) customer base, (vi) operating rights, (vii) production techniques, or (viii) trade names.

See id. However, a different conclusion may be reached depending upon the customary practice for an industry or a particular issuer. For example, an issuer may be submitting a letter of intent as one of many parties in a bidding process, or a roll-up entity may routinely sign letters of intent to further its due diligence investigations of multiple potential targets, but with the acquisition of only a minority of those companies becoming probable.

See Financial Reporting Manual, Section 2050.3.

By “pre-tax income” we mean the income from continuing operations. See S-X Rule 1-02(w)(1)(iii)(A)(1). Absolute values should be used for the net income component.

See S-X Rule 1-02(w)(1)(i)(A).

S-X Rule 1-02(w)(1)(ii).


See S-X Rule 3-05(b)(2).

See S-X Rule 1-02(w)(1).


See S-X Rule 3-05(b)(3) referring to Rule 11-01(b)(3). The tests may not be made by “annualizing” data, and may only include Transaction Accounting Adjustments.

See S-X Rule 3-05(b)(3) referring to Rule 11-01(b)(3).

See S-X Rule 3-05(a)(3) (governing whether businesses are “related”); S-X Rule 11-01(d) (governing whether an acquisition involves a “business”).

See S-X Rule 3-05(b)(2)(ii). A comparative interim period for the prior year is not required when only one year of audited Rule 3-05 Financial Statements is required.

See S-X Rule 3-05(b)(2)(iii).

See S-X Rule 3-05(b)(2).

See S-X Rule 3-05(b)(2)(iv). See also Final Rule: Amendments to Financial Disclosures About Acquired and Disposed Businesses, Release No. 33-10786 (May 20, 2020), p. 79. “Individually insignificant businesses” include any: (a) acquisition consummated after the acquiror’s audited balance sheet date whose significance does not exceed 20%; (b) probable acquisition whose significance does not exceed 50%; and (c) consummated acquisition whose significance exceeds 20%, but does not exceed 50%, for which financial statements are not yet required because of the 75-day grace period.

See S-X Rule 3-05(a)(1) (financial statements of acquired businesses must be prepared and audited in accordance with S-X).

Although the staleness date for an acquired company’s financial statements is determined based on the status of the acquired company (e.g., as an accelerated or non-accelerated filer), an interesting wrinkle may emerge where the acquiring company is on a faster track than the acquired company. In that fact pattern, the separate requirement to include pro forma financial information under Article 11 of S-X can effectively accelerate the need for the acquired company’s financial information. The acquiring company will need to produce financial statements for the acquired business if the acquiring company wants to go to market with “LTM” pro forma financials after the date on which its own year-end financials are due but before the due date for the acquired company’s financials.

See S-X Rule 3-05(b)(4)(i). The date of an offering will be deemed to be the date of the final prospectus or prospectus supplement filed pursuant to Rule 424(b). See id. By analogy, the pricing date would be the date of an offering in a Rule 144A transaction.

“Foreign business” is defined in S-X Rule 1-02(l) as a business that is majority owned by persons who are not citizens or residents of the United States and is not organized under US law, and either:

- more than 50% of its assets are located outside the United States; or
- the majority of its executive officers or directors are not US citizens or residents.

In determining the majority ownership of a business, the SEC Staff will consider the ultimate parent entity that would consolidate the business under US GAAP (or IFRS for IASB IFRS issuers) and the parent’s controlling shareholders. See Financial Reporting Manual, Section 6110.4. The implication of this is that a non-US subsidiary of a US company would likely not be considered a “foreign business.”
Securities Act Rule 408 states that “In addition to the information expressly required to be included in a registration statement, there shall be added such further material information, if any, as may be necessary to make the required statements, in the light of the circumstances in (i) that would cause the reported financial information not to be necessarily indicative of future operating results.

See S-X Rule 3-05(c) (financial statements of an acquired foreign business can meet Item 17 of Form 20-F); Form 20-F, Item 17(c)(2)(v) (financial statements of an acquired business may omit reconciliation below the 30% significance level).

See also S-X Rule 3-05(c) (financial statements of an acquired business may omit reconciliation below the 30% significance level).

See S-X Rule 3-06.

See Financial Reporting Manual, Section 3100.1. In April 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity. The ASU revised the definition of discontinued operations and additional financial statement disclosures. Under the ASU, a discontinued operation is either: (i) a component of an entity or a group of components of an entity that represents a separate major line of business or major geographical area of operations that either has been disposed of or is part of a single coordinated plan to be classified as held for sale, or (ii) a business that, on acquisition, meets all of the criteria to be classified as held for sale.

See Financial Reporting Manual, Section 13110.2; S-K Item 512(a).


See Section 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act.

See S-X Rule 3-10(a). In the case of a foreign private issuer, these would be the financial statements required by Item 8.A of Form 20-F. Note that S-X Rule 3-10 typically does not apply to credit enhancements that are not guarantees. However, in certain cases the financial condition of the party providing the credit enhancement could be material to investors and subject to disclosure. See Final Rule: Financial Statements and Periodic Reports for Related Issuers and Guarantors, Release No. 33-7878 at n.50 (Aug. 15, 2000).

S-X Rule 3-10(a).

S-X Rule 3-10(b)(2) defines a security as “debt” or “debt-like” if: “(i) The issuer has a contractual obligation to pay a fixed sum at a fixed time; and (ii) Where the obligation to make such payments is cumulative, a set amount of interest must be paid.”

S-X Rule 13-01(a)(6)-(7). In some situations, this may require the parent company to include separate summarized financial information for the issuers and guarantors to which that additional information applies. For instance, where a subsidiary guarantee is not full and unconditional and the guarantor is not wholly owned by the parent company, the parent may be required to disclose separate summarized financial information for the guarantor, as well as additional information about the terms of the guarantee and the rights of minority interests in the subsidiary.

S-X Rule 13-01(b). The parent company may decide to include the Alternative Disclosures in the notes to the consolidated financial statements, which would then subject the information to audit and with possible delay and expense. If not included in the consolidated financial statements or in the MD&A, the parent company must include the disclosures in its prospectus immediately following “Risk Factors” or, if there are no risk factors, immediately following pricing information.

S-X Rule 13-01(a). For instance, if there were fewer than 300 holders of record of the subject securities, the reporting obligation would be automatically suspended after the first annual report on Form 10-K following the issuance. See Exchange Act Section 15(d)(1).

S-X Rule 13-01(a)(1).

S-X Rule 13-01(a)(2).

S-X Rule 13-01(a)(3).

See S-X Rule 3-10(b)(1) the “parent company” is the entity that (i) Is an issuer or guarantor of the guaranteed security; (ii) Is, or as a result of the subject Securities Act registration statement will be, an Exchange Act reporting company; and (iii) Consolidates each subsidiary issuer and/or subsidiary guarantor of the guaranteed security in its consolidated financial statements.


S-X Rule 13-01(a)(4), referring to the definition of “summarized financial information” in S-X Rule 1-02(bb), required for other note disclosures (e.g., equity investees). There is no requirement to present cash flow information, but the required disclosures include current and non-current assets, preferred stock, non-controlling interests, net sales or gross revenues, income/loss from continuing operations, and net income/loss.


S-X Rule 13-01(a)(4)(5). An acquired business is significant for these purposes if it exceeds 20% on any of the Asset, Investment, or Income Tests discussed above in “Recent and Probable Acquisitions.”


S-X Rule 13-01(a)(4)(vi) defines a “finance subsidiary” as a “a subsidiary that has no assets or operations other than those related to the issuance, administration and repayment of the security being registered and any other securities guaranteed by its parent company.”


S-K Item 601(b)(22).

S-X Rule 3-16 will continue to apply to any secured offering registered before January 4, 2021 where the registrant has not been providing S-X Rule 3-16 financial statements. This extension of the S-X Rule 3-16 regime for the remaining term of securities that meet these criteria is designed to ensure that collateral release provisions in the related indentures are not unintentionally triggered and holders’ rights impaired.

S-X Rule 13-02(a)(6)-(7).

S-X Rule 13-02(b).

S-X Rule 13-02(a).

See ASC 323, Investments – Equity Method and Joint Venture; see also Financial Reporting Manual, Section 5210.


See Financial Reporting Manual, Section 4110.5.
See S-X Rule 3-09(a).

Note this test is derived from S-X Rule 1-02(w)(1)(i).

Note this test is derived from S-X Rule 1-02(w)(1)(iii).

See S-X Rule 3-09(a).

See S-X Rule 3-09(b).

The Center for Audit Quality SEC Regulations Committee Highlights (Mar. 19, 2013) (EGC may include only two years of financial statements of the Rule 3-09 investee, even in situations where an EGC voluntarily provides a third year of financial statements).

See generally S-X Rule 4-08(g).

See Form 20-F, Item 17(c)(vi).

See S-X Rule 3-09(d).

See Form 20-F, Item 17(c).

See id.


See S-K Item 303(a).

ASC 280 uses the term “chief operating decision maker” to identify a function rather than a specific person; the “chief operating decision maker” could be the CEO, CFO, or a group of senior managers, depending upon the circumstances.

In practice, there is a great variety of ways in which management may view its business and there is no one right answer within a given industry.

See generally S-X Rule 5-04(c).

Where restrictions on the amount of funds that may be loaned or advanced differ from the amount restricted as to transfer in the form of cash dividends, the amount least restrictive to the subsidiary may be used. Redeemable preferred stocks and non-controlling interests are deducted in computing net assets for purposes of this test. See S-X Rules 5-04 and 1-02(dd).

See generally S-K Item 801.

On September 11, 2020, the SEC adopted amendments to rescind Guide 3 and codify updated disclosure requirements in new subpart 1400 of Regulation S-K. The updated disclosure requirements reflect significant financial reporting changes, including the issuance of new accounting standards that have taken place for banking entities. The rules apply to domestic and foreign bank holding companies, banks, savings and loan holding companies, and savings and loan associations, including foreign private issuers. Disclosures are required about the following for each annual period presented and any additional interim period if a material change in the information or trend evidenced thereby has occurred:

• distribution of assets, liabilities and stockholders’ equity, the related interest income and expense, and interest rates and interest differential;
• weighted average yield of investments in debt securities by maturity;
• maturity analysis of the loan portfolio including the amounts that have predetermined interest rates and floating or adjustable interest rates;
• certain credit ratios and the factors that explain material changes in the ratios, or the related components during the periods presented;
• the allowance for credit losses by loan category; and
• bank deposits including average amounts and rate paid and amounts that are uninsured.

Although the rules will apply to fiscal years ending on or after December 15, 2021, voluntary compliance with the new rules will be accepted in advance of the mandatory compliance date.


See Regulation G, Rule 100(a).

The rules define a “qualified person” to mean:

• a mineral industry professional with at least five years of relevant experience in the type of mineralization and type of deposit under consideration and in the specific type of activity that person is undertaking on behalf of the company; and
• an eligible member or licensee in good standing of a recognized professional organization at the time the technical report is prepared.


See Form 8-K, Item 2.02, Instruction 2 (requirements of S-K Item 10(e)(1)(i) apply to Item 2.02 disclosures).

See Regulation G, Rule 101(a)(1).

See id. at Rule 101(a)(2).

See id. at Rule 101(a)(3).

See id. at Rule 100(a).
In addition, under Exchange Act Rule 12b-2, an “accelerated filer” is an issuer meeting the same conditions, except that it has a market capitalization of $75 million or more but less than $700 million (measured as of the last business day of its most recently completed second fiscal quarter); has an aggregate worldwide market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates (market capitalization) of $700 million or more (measured as of the last business day of the issuer’s most recently completed second fiscal quarter); has been subject to SEC reporting under the Exchange Act for a period of at least 12 calendar months; has filed at least one annual report under the Exchange Act with the SEC; and is not eligible to be a “smaller reporting company” and had annual revenues of less than $100 million in the most recent fiscal year for which financial statements are available.

In addition, under Exchange Act Rule 12b-2, an “accelerated filer” is an issuer meeting the same conditions, except that it has a market capitalization of $75 million or more but less than $700 million (measured as of the last business day of its most recently completed second fiscal quarter). See also Final Rule: Accelerated Filer and Large Accelerated Filer Definitions, Release No. 34-88365 (March 12, 2020). See also Final Rule: Smaller Reporting Company Definition, Release No. 33-10513 (July 10, 2018).

See Final Rule: Internal Control over Financial Reporting in Exchange Act Periodic Reports of Non-Accelerated Filers, Release No. 33-9142 (Sept. 21, 2010). This rule implemented Section 989G of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which added Section 404(c) to Sarbanes-Oxley. Under Section 404(c), the requirements of Section 404(b) do not apply to any audit report prepared for an issuer that is neither an accelerated filer nor a large accelerated filer.

See S-K Item 308 (a) and (b). Under Exchange Act Rule 12b-2, a “large accelerated filer” is an issuer that, as of the end of its fiscal year:

- has an aggregate worldwide market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates (market capitalization) of $700 million or more (measured as of the last business day of the issuer’s most recently completed second fiscal quarter);
- has been subject to SEC reporting under the Exchange Act for a period of at least 12 calendar months;
- has filed at least one annual report under the Exchange Act with the SEC; and
- is not eligible to be a “smaller reporting company” and had annual revenues of less than $100 million in the most recent fiscal year for which financial statements are available.

Under the relevant Rule 10b-5 case law, a plaintiff must show more than a simple misstatement or omission. A showing of scienter or recklessness is also required to establish liability.

See S-X Rule 4-01(a)(1) (financial statements not prepared in accordance with “generally accepted accounting principles” are presumed to be misleading or inaccurate); Financial Reporting Manual, Section 1410 (S-X and US GAAP must be followed by domestic issuers).


Under the relevant Rule 10b-5 case law, a plaintiff must show more than a simple misstatement or omission. A showing of scienter or recklessness is also required to establish liability.

See S-X Rule 4-01(a)(1) (financial statements not prepared in accordance with “generally accepted accounting principles” are presumed to be misleading or inaccurate); Financial Reporting Manual, Section 1410 (S-X and US GAAP must be followed by domestic issuers).


See Form 20-F, Items 17(c), 18.

Exchange Act Rule 13a-13(b)(2).

Exchange Act Rule 13a-11(b); see also Exchange Act Rule 13a-16(c) (reports on Form 6-K are furnished, not filed).

See Exchange Act Rule 13a-16(a)(3); see also Financial Reporting Manual, Section 6120.1 (same).
