Supplement to the Los Angeles and San Francisco



Oracle America Inc. v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise Inc.



CHRISTOPHER S. YATES

n 2015, Oracle America secured a \$54 million settlement from Terix Com puter Co., a software support provider that it had accused of violating Oracle's copyrights in software patches for the Solaris operating system. Two years later, four former Terix the 9th Circuit. executives pleaded guilty to conspiring to steal Oracle's intellectual property.

DEFENSE LAWYERS



SARAH M. RAY

But when Oracle sued Hew-

lett Packard Enterprises for

copyright infringement by

working with Terix, it had to

take HPE to trial. In fact, Judge

Jon S. Tigar initially granted



BRITTANY N. LOVEJOY

airlines, banks, cloud providers and other big businesses. "It's aot tens of millions of lines of code," said Christopher S. Yates of Latham & Watkins LLP, one of Oracle's lead trial lawyers. The company spends millions annually on regular software patches and updates to support Solaris users.

Terix found a way to improperly copy those patches for its support customers. It also has provided support for HPE customers who have Oracle computers running Solaris. Over a nearly four-week trial in May and June, Yates and his team had to prove that Hewlett Packard infringed Oracle's copyrights, too. Oracle America Inc. v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co., 4:16-cv-01393 (N.D.

SARANG (SY) V. DAMLE

Yates said a pivotal moment in the trial was Latham partner Sarah Ray's cross-examination of an HPE executive, in which she showed that the company had great control over what Terix did with HPE's customers.

Cal., filed March 22, 2016).

Britt Lovejoy said another important element was the Latham team's extensive use of clips from HPE executives' video depositions during the

summary judgment against Oracle but was reversed by Solaris is a large, complex operating system that runs the high-end servers used by CASE NAME Oracle America Inc. v. Hewlett Packard Enterprise Inc. TYPE OF CASE Copyright infringement, interference with contractual relationships Northern District

U.S. District Judge Jon S. Tigar

Latham & Watkins LLP, Christopher S. Yates, Sarah M. Ray, Sarang (Sy) V. Damle, Brittany N. Lovejoy, Abigal Parr, Alex Epstein, Emma Eastwood-Paticchio, Kevin Wu, Sarah O'Connor, Peter Calello, Mia Cabello

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, Jeffrey T. Thomas, Blaine H. Evanson, Samuel G. Liversidge, Ilissa Samplin, Eric Vandevelde, Veronica Moyé, Joseph Gorman

Case Details COURT JUDGE(S) PLAINTIFFS' LAWYERS

trial. Together, they showed "an understanding by HP that Terix was indeed making copies of Oracle's IP," said Lovejoy.

engineer also testified about \$30 million for direct and creating Solaris initially and vicarious copyright infringethe creative effort involved in ment and \$24 million for writing the later patches. "He intentionally interfering with was pretty passionate about Oracle's contractual and the amount of effort it takes economic relationships with to develop an enterprise oper- its customers. ating system," Yates said.

His team also were allowed to tell the jury about the Terix executives' convictions for wire fraud.

A former top Oracle software that HPE should pay Oracle

Gibson Dunn partners who represented Hewlett Packard did not respond to a request to comment on the trial.

On June 14, the jury found Yates said that the importance of the case for Oracle was about protecting its intellectual property, not about money. "With all the hackers out there, it's a never-ending task to ensure that [they're] issuing new patches that will address any vulnerabilities," he said.

Several months after the verdict, the parties reached a confidential

settlement, which was finalized early this year.

"Everything has been resolved to the mutual satisfaction of the parties," Yates said.

- WRITER

Reprinted with permission from the Daily Journal. ©2023 Daily Journal Corporation. All rights reserved. Reprinted by ReprintPros 949-702-5390.